# <span id="page-0-0"></span>Quantization and Isotropic Submanifolds

# Louis Ioos

ABSTRACT. We introduce the notion of an isotropic quantum state associated with a Bohr–Sommerfeld manifold in the context of Berezin– Toeplitz quantization of general prequantized symplectic manifolds, and we study its semiclassical properties using the off-diagonal expansion of the Bergman kernel. We then show how these results extend to the case of noncompact orbifolds and give an application to relative Poincaré series in the theory of automorphic forms.

## **1. Introduction**

Let  $(X, \omega)$  be a compact symplectic manifold of dimension 2*n*, and let  $(L, h^L)$  be a Hermitian line bundle over *X*, endowed with a Hermitian connection ∇*<sup>L</sup>* such that its curvature  $R^L$  satisfies the following *prequantization condition*:

$$
\omega = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} R^L.
$$
 (1.1)

Let *J* be an almost complex structure on *TX* compatible with  $\omega$ , and let  $g^{TX}$  be the Riemannian metric on *T X* induced by  $\omega$  and *J*. For any  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , we denote by  $L^p$  the *p*th tensor power of *L*, we write  $\Delta^{L^p}$  for the associated Bochner Laplacian acting on  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(X, L^p)$ , and consider the *renormalized Bochner Laplacian* given for any  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$  by the formula

$$
\Delta^{L^p} - 2\pi n p. \tag{1.2}
$$

Following  $[18, (1.7)]$  $[18, (1.7)]$  $[18, (1.7)]$ , it admits a discrete spectrum in  $\mathbb{R}$ , and there exist constants  $\widetilde{C}$ ,  $C, \mu > 0$  such that for all  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , it has a finite number of eigenvalues contained in the interval  $[-\tilde{C}, \tilde{C}]$ , whereas all the others are greater than  $\mu p - C$ . Then for all  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , we define the finite-dimensional space  $\mathcal{H}_p \subset \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(X, L^p)$  of *almost holomorphic sections* of  $L^p$  as the direct sum of the eigenspaces associated with the eigenvalues of the renormalized Bochner Laplacian inside  $[-C, C]$ . As explained in Section [2.1](#page-5-0), these spaces satisfy the Riemann–Roch–Hirzebruch formula for  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$  big enough and are a natural generalization of the spaces of holomorphic sections of *Lp*.

In fact, consider the particular case of integrable  $J$ , making  $(X, J, \omega)$  into a *Kähler manifold,* together with a holomorphic Hermitian line bundle  $(L, h^L)$  such that its *Chern connection* ∇*<sup>L</sup>* (its unique Hermitian connection compatible with the holomorphic structure) satisfies (1.1). For any  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , writing  $\overline{\partial}_p$  for the holomorphic  $\overline{\partial}$ -operator on forms with values in  $L^p$  and  $\overline{\partial}_p^*$  for its formal adjoint with

Received August 25, 2019. Revision received May 14, 2020.

Supported by the grant DIM-RDF from Région Ile-de-France.

respect to the *L*2-Hermitian product, the *Bochner–Kodaira* formula tells us that the operator [\(1.2](#page-0-0)) is equal to  $2\overline{\partial}_{p}^{*}\overline{\partial}_{p}$ . Then by a result of [\[6](#page-41-0), Thm. 1.1], this operator shows a *spectral gap*, so that the eigenvalues inside  $[-C, C]$  are all equal to 0 for  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$  big enough. The space  $\mathcal{H}_p$  of almost holomorphic sections considered above then reduces to the space of *holomorphic sections* of  $L^p$ . As explained, for instance, in [\[34](#page-43-0), Section 9.2], these spaces can be thought as the spaces of quantum states of the *holomorphic quantization* of the symplectic manifold  $(X, \omega)$ , seen as a dynamical phase space of classical mechanics. In this context, the integer  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$  represents a *quantum number*, usually inversely proportional to the *Planck constant,* and asymptotic results as *p* tends to infinity describe the socalled *semiclassical limit,* when the scale gets so large that we recover the laws of classical mechanics as an approximation of the laws of quantum mechanics.

On the other hand, in the framework of geometric quantization associated with a regular Lagrangian fibration on *X*, the quantum states of *X* are represented by immersed Lagrangian submanifolds  $\iota : \Lambda \hookrightarrow X$  satisfying the property called the *Bohr–Sommerfeld condition,* which asks for the existence of a nonvanishing section  $\zeta \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\Lambda, \iota^*L)$  parallel with respect to  $\nabla^{\iota^*L}$  and satisfying  $|\zeta(x)|_{\iota^*L} = 1$  for all  $x \in \Lambda$  (see, e.g., [[30\]](#page-42-0)). We call the data of  $(\Lambda, \iota, \zeta)$  a *Bohr–Sommerfeld Lagrangian.* The existence of a regular Lagrangian fibration on *X* being too restrictive, we consider in general singular Lagrangian fibrations, in which we allow the dimension of the fibers to drop on a finite union of submanifolds of positive codimension in *X*. Removing the condition dim  $\Lambda = n$ , we call the data of  $(\Lambda, \iota, \zeta)$  a *Bohr–Sommerfeld submanifold.* The typical case of a singular Lagrangian fibration is the case of *toric manifolds,* where *X* is endowed with an effective Hamiltonian action of  $\mathbb{T}^n = (S^1)^n$ , and the fibers are given by the orbits of this action. For a comparison of holomorphic and real quantizations in this context, see, for example, [\[4](#page-41-0)].

In this paper, we use the theory of the generalized Bergman kernel of Ma and Marinescu [\[26](#page-42-0)] to study semiclassical properties of Bohr–Sommerfeld submanifolds in the context of the *almost holomorphic quantization* described before. Here the quantization of a Bohr–Sommerfeld submanifold is represented by a sequence  $\{s_p \in \mathcal{H}_p\}_{p \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ , called an *isotropic state*, defined for any  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$  by the formula

$$
s_p = \int_{\Lambda} P_p(x, \iota(y)) \zeta^p(y) \, dv_{\Lambda}(y),\tag{1.3}
$$

where  $dv_{\Lambda}$  is the Riemannian volume form of  $(\Lambda, \iota^* g^{TX})$ ,  $\zeta^p \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\Lambda, \iota^* L^p)$  is the *p*th tensor power of  $\zeta$ , and  $P_p(\cdot, \cdot)$  is the *generalized Bergman kernel*, that is, the Schwartz kernel with respect to  $dv<sub>X</sub>$  of the orthogonal projection  $P<sub>p</sub>$  from  $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}(X, L^p)$  to  $\mathscr{H}_p$  with respect to the natural  $L^2$ -Hermitian product. The expected behavior of a quantum state in the semiclassical limit is to rapidly localize around the corresponding classical object, and we show in Proposition [3.5](#page-12-0) that isotropic states indeed concentrate around the associated Bohr–Sommerfeld submanifold as  $p \rightarrow +\infty$ . Furthermore, we establish in Theorem [3.6](#page-13-0) the following <span id="page-2-0"></span>estimate on the *L*<sup>2</sup>-norm  $\|\cdot\|_p$  of these sections as  $p \to +\infty$ , which is the first main result of this paper, and which we state here in its simplest form.

THEOREM 1.1. Let  $(\Lambda, \zeta, \iota)$  be a Bohr–Sommerfeld submanifold of X. Then there *exist*  $a_r \in \mathbb{R}, r \in \mathbb{N}$ , *such that for any*  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  *and as*  $p \to +\infty$ ,

$$
||s_p||_p^2 = p^{n-\dim \Lambda/2} \sum_{r=0}^k p^{-r} a_r + O(p^{n-\dim \Lambda/2 - (k+1)}).
$$
 (1.4)

*Furthermore, we have*  $a_0 = 2^{\dim A/2}$  Vol( $\Lambda$ )*, where* Vol( $\Lambda$ ) > 0 *is the Riemannian volume of*  $(A, t^*e^{TX})$ .

The proof of this theorem uses the off-diagonal expansion expansion of the generalized Bergman kernel as  $p \rightarrow +\infty$  given in [\[26](#page-42-0), Thm. 1.19], which in fact implies an analogous expansion for the isotropic state  $s_p$  around  $\Lambda$  depending on the position of the tangent spaces of  $\Lambda$  with respect to the Riemannian metric  $g^{TX}$ , similar to the asymptotic expansion of the *G*-invariant Bergman kernel of Ma and Zhang [[28,](#page-42-0) Thm. 0.2]. Although we do not state it explicitly, this fact is implicitly used in Section [4,](#page-16-0) where we study the  $L^2$ -Hermitian product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_p$ of two such sections as  $p \to +\infty$ . We show that this product tends rapidly to 0 whenever the two associated submanifolds do not intersect, and we establish Theorem [4.4](#page-21-0), which is the second main result of this paper, and which we state here in its simplest form, using the notion of *clean intersection* of Definition [4.1.](#page-16-0)

THEOREM 1.2. Let  $(\Lambda_1, \iota_1, \zeta_1)$  and  $(\Lambda_2, \iota_2, \zeta_2)$  be two Bohr–Sommerfeld subman*ifolds with clean and connected intersection, and let*  ${s_{i,p}}_{p \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , *denote the associated isotropic states. Set*  $l = \dim \Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2$  *and*  $d_j = \dim \Lambda_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ . *Then there exist*  $b_r \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , *such that for any*  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  *and as*  $p \to +\infty$ ,

$$
\langle s_{1,p}, s_{2,p} \rangle_p = p^{n - (d_1 + d_2)/2 + l/2} \lambda^p \sum_{r=0}^k p^{-r} b_r + O(p^{n - (d_1 + d_2)/2 + l/2 - (k+1)}),
$$
 (1.5)

*where*  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  *is the value of the constant function on*  $\Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2$  *defined for any*  $x \in \Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2$  *by*  $\lambda(x) = \langle \zeta_1(x), \zeta_2(x) \rangle_L$ . *Furthermore*, *if* dim  $\Lambda_1 = n$ , *then* 

$$
b_0 = 2^{n/2} \int_{\Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2} d t^{-1/2} \left\{ \sqrt{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-l} h^{TX}(e_k, v_i) \omega(e_k, v_j) \right\}_{i,j=1}^{d_2-l} |dv|_{\Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2}, \qquad (1.6)
$$

*where*  $\langle e_i \rangle_{i=1}^{n-l}, \langle v_j \rangle_{j=1}^{d_2-l}$  are local orthonormal frames of the normal bundle of  $\Lambda$ <sub>1</sub> ∩  $\Lambda$ <sub>2</sub> *in*  $\Lambda$ <sub>1</sub>,  $\Lambda$ <sub>2</sub> *respectively, and*  $|dv|_{\Lambda$ <sub>1</sub>∩ $\Lambda$ <sub>2</sub> *is the Riemannian density on*  $\Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2$  *induced by*  $g^{T\bar{X}}$ .

The proof of this theorem also gives a formula for the first coefficient  $(1.6)$  in the case  $\Lambda_1$  and  $\Lambda_2$  are both not Lagrangian, but its geometric meaning is unclear, which is why we do not give it explicitly. Note on the other hand that although the integrand of  $(1.6)$  $(1.6)$  is nowhere vanishing, nothing prevents the whole integral to vanish in general. In any case, this shows that in the semiclassical limit the Hermitian product of two isotropic states is closely related to the geometry of the intersection of the corresponding submanifolds. The left-hand side of  $(1.5)$  is called the *intersection product* of  $s_{1,p}$  and  $s_{2,p}$ , and can be thought as the cup product of some Lagrangian intersection theory (see [\[32](#page-43-0)] for a discussion on this idea).

To give the most general formulation of Theorems [1.1](#page-2-0) and [1.2,](#page-2-0) we use the theory of Berezin–Toeplitz operators for the generalized Bergman kernel on symplectic manifolds of [[19](#page-42-0)] and consider any *J* -invariant Riemannian metric *gT X* on *T X* and isotropic states taking values in an auxiliary Hermitian vector bundle  $(E, h^E)$  with Hermitian connection  $\nabla^E$ . In the case of nonconnected intersection the expansion  $(1.5)$  $(1.5)$  $(1.5)$  takes the form of a sum over the connected components. We describe this in Theorems [3.6](#page-13-0) and [4.4](#page-21-0) in the case of smooth and compact *X*. When  $(E, h^E)$  is the so-called *metaplectic correction*, we recover the setting of metaplectic quantization and Lagrangian submanifolds endowed with half-forms, as explained in Remark [4.5.](#page-25-0) On the other hand, the case of higher-dimensional  $(E, h^E)$  is relevant for the applications to relative Poincaré series in the theory of vector-valued automorphic forms, as explained further. In the same context, note that the metric  $g^{TX}$  used in Section [6](#page-35-0) is not the metric induced by  $\omega$  and *J*, although the difference is rather trivial, as noted in the proof of Theorem [6.3.](#page-37-0) However, the case of a general Hermitian metric  $g^{TX}$  may be useful in the study of relative Poincaré series on more general symmetric spaces.

In Section [5,](#page-26-0) we explain how the results of Section [3](#page-10-0) extend to the case of complete noncompact orbifold  $(X, g^{TX})$  when the immersed isotropic submanifold  $\Lambda$ is compact and  $(X, J, \omega, g^{TX})$  is Kähler. As an application to the case where *X* is the quotient of the Poincaré upper half-plane  $\mathbb H$  by a discrete subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ , we derive in Section [6](#page-35-0) asymptotic results on relative Poincaré series in the theory of automorphic forms.

In the case of compact Kähler manifold  $(X, J, \omega, g^{TX})$  with even  $c_1(TX)$ ,  $E = \mathbb{C}$ , and dim  $\Lambda_1 = \dim \Lambda_2 = n$ , Theorem [4.4](#page-21-0) is the main result of Borthwick, Paul, and Uribe  $[8, Thm. 3.2]$  $[8, Thm. 3.2]$  $[8, Thm. 3.2]$  with an expansion in half-integer powers of  $p$  in  $[8, (85)]$  $[8, (85)]$  $[8, (85)]$  instead of integer powers as in  $(1.5)$  $(1.5)$  $(1.5)$ . This is explained in Remark [4.5,](#page-25-0) where we translate their use of the formalism of half-forms by taking for *E* a square root of the canonical bundle of X. In the case where  $\Gamma$  acts freely on  $\mathbb H$ and where  $X = \mathbb{H}/\Gamma$  is compact, the application to relative Poincaré series in Section [6](#page-35-0) is the result of [[8,](#page-41-0) Section 4]. In the case where  $(X, J, \omega, g^{TX})$  is additionally equipped with an Hamiltonian action of a compact Lie group lifting to  $(L, h^L, \nabla^L)$ , an equivariant version of the results of [[8\]](#page-41-0) has been obtained by De-bernardi and Paoletti [\[13](#page-42-0)]. Semiclassical asymptotics on Lagrangian states have also been obtained by Charles [\[11](#page-42-0)] in the case of discrete intersections and in the same particular context as in [\[8](#page-41-0)].

The theory of Berezin–Toeplitz operators was first developed by Bordemann, Meinreken, and Schlichenmaier [[7\]](#page-41-0) and Schlichenmaier [\[29](#page-42-0)] for the Kähler case, <span id="page-4-0"></span> $E = \mathbb{C}$ , and  $g^{TX}(\cdot, \cdot) = \omega(\cdot, J \cdot)$ . The approach of [[7;](#page-41-0) [8;](#page-41-0) [11\]](#page-42-0), and [\[13](#page-42-0)] is based on the work of Boutet de Monvel and Sjöstrand [\[10](#page-42-0)] on the Szegö kernel and on the theory of Toeplitz structures developed by Boutet de Monvel and Guillemin [[9\]](#page-42-0). Note that the definitions of Section [3.1](#page-10-0) extend in a straightforward way to the case of spin<sup>c</sup> *quantization* considered, for example, in  $[27]$  $[27]$ , and the results of Section [3](#page-10-0) and Section [4](#page-16-0) certainly hold in this case. If  $(X, J, \omega, g^{TX})$  is further endowed with a Hamiltonian action of a compact Lie group *G* lifting to  $(L, h^L, \nabla^L)$ ,  $(E, h^E, \nabla^E)$  such that  $0 \in \text{Lie}(G)^*$  is a regular point of the associated moment map  $\mu : X \to \text{Lie}(G)^*$ , and if  $\iota : \Lambda \to X$  intersects  $\mu^{-1}(0)$  cleanly in the sense of Definition  $4.1$ , then we can use the full off-diagonal expansion of the *G*-invariant Bergman kernel of Ma and Zhang [[28,](#page-42-0) Thm. 0.2, Rem. 0.3] to prove a result analogous to Theorem [3.6](#page-13-0) for the *G*-invariant part of the associated isotropic state.

In the context of relative Poincaré series, Barron (previously Foth) studied in [[14\]](#page-42-0) the case of Bohr–Sommerfeld tori in higher-dimensional symmetric spaces. The results of Section  $5$  can then be used to generalize  $[14, Section 1.3]$  $[14, Section 1.3]$  to the case of noncompact or orbifold symmetric spaces. In another direction, the results of Sections [3.2](#page-12-0) and [4.2](#page-21-0) can be applied to study relative Poincaré series associated with isotropic submanifolds in higher-dimensional symmetric spaces. The case of geodesics on some specific compact quotients of the ball has been studied by Barron [\[5](#page-41-0)]. On the other hand, Alluhaibi and Barron [[1\]](#page-41-0) studied the case of relative Poincaré series associated with some submanifolds of the ball, which are not necessarily isotropic. Note that they consider more generally the case of vectorvalued automorphic forms, which corresponds for us to the case of flat Hermitian vector bundle  $(E, h^E)$  of arbitrary dimension. Our results can thus also be applied to this case where the underlying submanifold is isotropic.

A final motivation for this work is toward the program initiated by Witten [\[33](#page-43-0)] in holomorphic quantization of Chern–Simons theory, showing an asymptotic expansion for Lagrangian states associated with some special Bohr–Sommerfeld Lagrangians inside the moduli space of flat connections on a Riemann surface defined in [[20,](#page-42-0) Prop. 7.2] and [\[15](#page-42-0), Prop. 3.27]. Bohr–Sommerfeld Lagrangians in this context have also been studied by Tyurin  $[32]$  $[32]$  and in a more general context of the Abelian Lagrangian Algebraic Geometry program of Gorodentsev and Tyurin [[17\]](#page-42-0). In both cases, it is of particular importance to be able to consider orbifolds.

## **2. Generalized Bergman Kernels on Symplectic Manifolds**

In this section, we set the context and notations, and recall the results of  $[24; 26]$  $[24; 26]$  $[24; 26]$ , and  $[19]$  $[19]$  $[19]$  we will need throughout the paper. We refer to the book  $[25]$  $[25]$ , Chapters 4– 8] as a basic reference for the theory.

## *2.1. Setting*

<span id="page-5-0"></span>Let  $(X, \omega)$  be a compact symplectic manifold of dimension 2*n* with tangent bundle *TX*, and let  $(L, h^L)$  be a Hermitian line bundle over *X*, together with a Hermitian connection  $\nabla^L$  satisfying ([1.1](#page-0-0)). Let *J* be an almost complex structure compatible with  $\omega$ , and take  $g^{TX}$  to be any *J*-invariant Riemannian metric on *TX*. We write  $\nabla^{TX}$  for the associated Levi–Civita connection and  $d^{X}(\cdot, \cdot)$  for the Riemannian distance of  $(X, g^{TX})$ 

For any Euclidean vector bundle  $(\mathscr{E}, g^{\mathscr{E}})$ , we write  $\mathscr{E}_{\mathbb{C}}$  for its complexification and still write  $g^{\mathscr{E}}$  for the induced  $\mathbb{C}$ -bilinear product on  $\mathscr{E}_{\mathbb{C}}$ . Let us write

$$
TX_{\mathbb{C}} = T^{(1,0)}X \oplus T^{(0,1)}X \tag{2.1}
$$

for the splitting of  $TX_{\mathbb{C}}$  into the eigenspaces of *J* corresponding to the eigenvalues  $\sqrt{-1}$  and  $-\sqrt{-1}$ . Then for any *x* ∈ *X* and *v*, *w* ∈  $T_x^{(1,0)}$ *X*, we define the positive Hermitian endomorphism  $\dot{R}_x^L \in \text{End}(T_x^{(1,0)}X)$  by the formula

$$
g^{TX}(\dot{R}_x^L v, \overline{w}) = R^L(v, \overline{w}).
$$
\n(2.2)

We denote by  $K_X = \det(T^{*(1,0)}X)$  the canonical line bundle of  $(X, J)$ , endowed with the Hermitian structure and connection  $h^{K_X}$ ,  $\nabla^{K_X}$  induced by  $g^{TX}$ ,  $\nabla^{TX}$  via (2.1). We will also consider the Riemannian metric  $g_{\omega}^{TX}$  on *TX* defined by the formula

$$
g_{\omega}^{TX}(\cdot,\cdot) = \omega(\cdot,J\cdot) \tag{2.3}
$$

and the Hermitian metric  $h_{\omega}^{TX}$  on  $(TX, J)$  defined by

$$
h_{\omega}^{TX} = g_{\omega}^{TX} - \sqrt{-1}\omega.
$$
 (2.4)

Note that if  $g^{TX} = g_{\omega}^{TX}$ , then  $\dot{R}^L = 2\pi \, \text{Id}_{T^{(1,0)}X}$ . For any submanifold  $Y \subset X$ , we write  $g^{TY}$ ,  $g_{\omega}^{TY}$  for the Riemannian metrics on *Y* induced by  $g^{TX}$ ,  $g_{\omega}^{TX}$  and  $dv_Y, dv_{Y,\omega}$  for the induced Riemannian volume forms. In particular, we have

$$
dv_{X,\omega} = \det(\dot{R}^L/2\pi) dv_X.
$$
 (2.5)

For any Hermitian vector bundle  $(E, h^E)$  over X, we write  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_E$  and  $|\cdot|_E$  for the Hermitian product and norm induced by  $h^E$ .

Let  $(E, h^E)$  be an auxiliary Hermitian vector bundle over *X* with Hermitian connection  $\nabla^{E}$ , and write  $R^{E}$  for the curvature of  $\nabla^{E}$ . For any  $p \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ , we write

$$
E_p = L^p \otimes E,\t\t(2.6)
$$

endowed with the Hermitian metric  $h^{E_p}$  and connection  $\nabla^{E_p}$  induced by  $h^L, h^E$ and  $\nabla^L$ ,  $\nabla^E$ .

DEFINITION 2.1. The *Bochner Laplacian*  $\Delta^{E_p}$  is the second-order differential operator acting on  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(X, E_p)$  by the formula

$$
\Delta^{E_p} = -\sum_{j=1}^{2n} [(\nabla_{e_j}^{E_p})^2 - \nabla_{\nabla_{e_j}^{TX}e_j}^{E_p}],
$$
\n(2.7)

where  ${e_j}_{j=1}^{2n}$  is any local orthonormal frame of *TX* with respect to  $g^{TX}$ .

<span id="page-6-0"></span>For any  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$  and any Hermitian smooth section  $\Phi \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(X, \text{End}(E))$ , the *renormalized Bochner Laplacian*  $\Delta_{p,\Phi}$  is the second-order differential operator acting on  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(X, E_p)$  by the formula

$$
\Delta_{p,\Phi} = \Delta^{E_p} - p \operatorname{Tr}[\dot{R}^L] + \Phi. \tag{2.8}
$$

From now on, we fix  $\Phi \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(X, \text{End}(E))$  and simply write  $\Delta_p$  for the associated renormalized Bochner Laplacian. In the Kähler case, if  $g^{TX} = g_{\omega}^{TX}$  and if  $\Phi$  is equal to  $-\sqrt{-1}R^E$  contracted with *ω*, then we recover twice the Kodaira Laplacian of  $E_p$ . On the other hand, if  $g^{TX} = g_{\omega}^{TX}$  and  $E = \mathbb{C}$ , then we recover  $(1.2).$  $(1.2).$  $(1.2).$ 

The *L*<sup>2</sup>-Hermitian product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_p$  on  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(X, E_p)$  is given for any  $s_1, s_2 \in$  $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}(X, E_p)$  by the formula

$$
\langle s_1, s_2 \rangle_p = \int_X \langle s_1(x), s_2(x) \rangle_{E_p} dv_X(x). \tag{2.9}
$$

Let  $\| \cdot \|_p$  be the associated  $L^2$ -norm, and let  $L^2(X, E_p)$  be the completion of  $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}(X, E_p)$  with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_p$ . Then  $\Delta_p$  is a self-adjoint second-order differential operator on  $L^2(X, E_p)$  and has a discrete spectrum contained in R. Furthermore, we have the following refinement of [[18,](#page-42-0) Thm. 2a)].

**THEOREM** 2.2 ([[24,](#page-42-0) Cor. 1.2]). *There exist*  $\widetilde{C}$ ,  $C > 0$  *such that for all*  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ,

$$
\operatorname{Spec}(\Delta_p) \subset [-\widetilde{C}, \widetilde{C}] \cup ]2\mu_0 p - C, +\infty[,\tag{2.10}
$$

*where*  $\mu_0 = \inf_{x \in X, v \in T_x^{(1,0)} X} R_x^L(v, \overline{v}) / g_x^{TX}(v, \overline{v}).$ 

For any  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , the *space of almost holomorphic sections*  $\mathcal{H}_p \subset L^2(X, E_p)$  of  $E_p$  is defined as the direct sum of the eigenspaces of  $\Delta_p$  associated with the eigenvalues in  $[-\tilde{C}, \tilde{C}]$ . Then by standard elliptic theory we have  $\mathcal{H}_p \subset \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(X, E_p)$ and dim  $\mathcal{H}_p$  < + $\infty$ . By [\[24](#page-42-0), Cor.1.2], for any  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$  big enough, the dimension of  $\mathcal{H}_p$  is computed by the Riemann–Roch–Hirzebruch formula and is in particular a polynomial of degree *n* in *p*. Note that by  $[26, \text{Cor. } 3.3]$  $[26, \text{Cor. } 3.3]$  $[26, \text{Cor. } 3.3]$  the eigenvalues in  $[-\tilde{C}, \tilde{C}]$  are not all equal to 0 in general, and for  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$  big enough, this happens if and only if  $(X, \omega, J)$  is in fact Kähler.

Let  $\pi_j$ :  $X \times X \rightarrow X$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , denote the first and second projections. For any  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , we define a vector bundle over  $X \times X$  by the formula

$$
E_p \boxtimes E_p^* = \pi_1^* E_p \otimes \pi_2^* E_p^*.
$$
 (2.11)

The orthogonal projection  $P_p : \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(X, E_p) \to \mathscr{H}_p$  with respect to (2.9) has smooth Schwartz kernel  $P_p(\cdot, \cdot) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(X \times X, E_p \boxtimes E_p^*)$  with respect to  $dv_X$ , defined for any  $s \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(X, E_p)$  and  $x \in X$  by

$$
(P_p s)(x) = \int_X P_p(x, y)s(y) \, dv_X(y). \tag{2.12}
$$

<span id="page-7-0"></span>For any  $F \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(X, \text{End}(E))$ , we define the *Berezin–Toeplitz quantization* of *F* as the family  ${T_{F,p}}_{p \in \mathbb{N}^*}$  of operators acting on  $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}(X, E_p)$  for any  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$  by

$$
T_{F,p} = P_p F P_p,\tag{2.13}
$$

where *F* denotes the operator of pointwise application of the endomorphism *F*. Then  $T_{F,p}$  has a smooth Schwartz kernel  $T_{F,p}(\cdot,\cdot) \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(X \times X, E_p \boxtimes E_p^*)$  with respect to  $dv_x$ , given for any  $x, y \in X$  by

$$
T_{F,p}(x, y) = \int_X P_p(x, w) F(w) P_p(w, y) dv_X(w).
$$
 (2.14)

For any  $\sigma > 0$ , we use the notation  $O(p^{-\sigma})$  as  $p \to +\infty$  in the usual sense with respect to  $|\cdot|_E$ , uniformly in *x* ∈ *X*. The notation  $O(p^{-\infty})$  means  $O(p^{-\sigma})$ for any  $\sigma > 0$ . Unless otherwise stated, we also use the convention to sum on free indices appearing twice in a single term.

## *2.2. Local Model*

Let  $(u, v) := (u_1, \ldots, u_n, v_1, \ldots, v_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  be the canonical symplectic coordinates associated with the standard symplectic form  $\Omega$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  given by

$$
\Omega = \sum_{j=1}^{n} du_j \wedge dv_j.
$$
\n(2.15)

We write  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \{0\} = \{(u, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} | u \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$  and  $\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^n = \{(0, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} | v \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$ for the two canonical oriented Lagrangian subspaces of  $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \Omega)$  and write  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and  $|\cdot|$  for the canonical scalar product and norm of  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . To match with the notations of [\[26\]](#page-42-0), we write  $Z := (u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  and use the same notation for the radial vector field of  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we denote by  $B^{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}(0, \varepsilon)$  the ball with center 0 and radius  $\varepsilon$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ , and for any linear subspace  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ , we write  $B^{\Sigma}(0,\varepsilon) := B^{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}(0,\varepsilon) \cap \Sigma.$ 

For any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , we write  $|\cdot|_{\mathscr{C}^m}$  for the local  $\mathscr{C}^m$ -norm on local sections of  $E_p \boxtimes E_p^*$  over  $X \times X$  induced by  $h^L, h^E, \nabla^L, \nabla^E$ .

PROPOSITION 2.3 ( $[26, \text{Section 1.1}]$  $[26, \text{Section 1.1}]$ ). *For any*  $m, k \in \mathbb{N}, \varepsilon > 0$ , and  $\theta \in [0, 1]$ , *there exists*  $C_{m,k,\theta,\varepsilon} > 0$  *such that for all*  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$  *and*  $x, x' \in X$  *satisfying dX(x,x ) > εp*−*θ/*2,

$$
|P_p(x, x')|_{\mathscr{C}^m} \le C_{m,k,\theta,\varepsilon} p^{-k}.\tag{2.16}
$$

Let us now take  $x_0 \in X$ ,  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ , an open neighborhood  $V \subset X$  of  $x_0$ , and a diffeomorphism

$$
\phi_{x_0}: B^{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}(0,\varepsilon_0) \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n} \to V \tag{2.17}
$$

sending 0 to  $x_0$ , such that its differential at 0 identifies  $\Omega$  and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  with *ω* and  $g_{\omega}^{TX}$  on  $T_{x_0}X$ . Let us make such a choice of diffeomorphisms (2.17) for any  $x_0$  in a small open set, smoothly in  $x_0$ . We cover *X* with such open sets and choose  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  that does not depend on  $x_0 \in X$ . As two Riemannian metrics induce <span id="page-8-0"></span>equivalent distances in a continuous way with respect to parameters, there exist  $0 < a < b$  such that for any  $x_0 \in X$  and  $Z, Z' \in B^{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}(0, \varepsilon_0)$ ,

$$
a|Z - Z'| < d^X(\phi_{x_0}(Z), \phi_{x_0}(Z')) < b|Z - Z'|.
$$
 (2.18)

Then by  $(2.18)$  we get the following corollary of Proposition [2.3](#page-7-0).

COROLLARY 2.4. *For any*  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $\theta \in [0, 1]$ , there exists  $C_{m, k, \theta, \varepsilon} > 0$ *such that for all*  $x_0 \in X$ , *for all*  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$  *and for all*  $Z, Z' \in B^{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}(0, \varepsilon_0)$  *satisfying*  $|Z - Z'| > \varepsilon p^{-\theta/2},$ 

$$
|P_p(\phi_{x_0}(Z), \phi_{x_0}(Z'))|_{\mathscr{C}^m} \le C_{m,k,\theta,\varepsilon'} p^{-k}.
$$
 (2.19)

We use the following explicit local model on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  for the Bergman kernel, as found in [[27,](#page-42-0) (3.25)] for any  $Z, Z' \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ :

$$
\mathscr{P}_{x_0}(Z, Z') = \exp\biggl(-\frac{\pi}{2}|Z - Z'|^2 - \pi\sqrt{-1}\Omega(Z, Z')\biggr). \tag{2.20}
$$

Note that the difference of  $(2.20)$  with  $[27, (3.25)]$  $[27, (3.25)]$  $[27, (3.25)]$  comes from the fact that we work with symplectic coordinates  $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  adapted to  $\omega$  via ([2.17](#page-7-0)) instead of metric coordinates adapted to  $g^{TX}$  via the exponential map as in [\[27](#page-42-0), Section 3.2].

Let  $dZ$  be the canonical Lebesgue measure of  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ , and define the smooth function  $\kappa_{x_0} \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(B^{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}(0,\varepsilon_0),\mathbb{R})$  such that for any  $Z \in B^{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}(0,\varepsilon_0)$  in the chart  $(2.17),$  $(2.17),$  $(2.17),$ 

$$
dv_X(Z) = \kappa_{x_0}(Z) dZ, \quad \text{with } \kappa_{x_0}(0) = \det(\dot{R}_{x_0}^L/2\pi)^{-1}.
$$
 (2.21)

In the chart ([2.17](#page-7-0)), we identify *E*, *L* over  $B^{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}(0, \varepsilon_0)$  with  $E_{x_0}, L_{x_0}$  through parallel transport with respect to  $\nabla^E$ ,  $\nabla^L$  along radial lines of  $B^{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}(0, \varepsilon_0)$ . For any  $x_0$  in a small open set, we identify  $L_{x_0}$  with  $\mathbb C$  using any unit local frame of *L*.

For any  $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(X, E)$ , we write  $f_{x_0} \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(B^{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}(0, \varepsilon_0), E_{x_0})$  for the restriction of *f* to  $B^{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}(0,\varepsilon_0)$  in this trivialization. Similarly, for any smooth kernel  $T_p(\cdot, \cdot) \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(X \times X, E_p \boxtimes E_p^*)$ , we denote by  $T_{p,x_0}(Z, Z') \in \text{End}(E_{x_0})$  its image evaluated at  $Z, Z' \in B^{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}(0, \varepsilon_0)$  in this trivialization. If  $Q(Z, Z')$  is a polynomial in  $Z, Z' \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ , then we write  $Q\mathcal{P}_{x_0}(Z, Z') := Q(Z, Z')\mathcal{P}_{x_0}(Z, Z').$ 

Recall that we chose a family of charts  ${\phi_{x_0}}_{x_0 \in W}$  as in [\(2.17\)](#page-7-0) smoothly in  $x_0 \in W$ , where *W* is a small open set of *X*. Then  $P_{p,x_0}(Z, Z')$  can be seen as a smooth section of  $\pi^*$  End*(E)* over  $W \times B^{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}(0, \varepsilon_0) \times B^{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}(0, \varepsilon_0)$  evaluated in  $x_0 \in W$ ,  $Z, Z' \in B^{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}(0, \varepsilon_0)$ , where  $\pi : W \times B^{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}(0, \varepsilon_0) \times B^{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}(0, \varepsilon_0) \to W$  is the first projection. Let us write  $|\cdot|_{\mathscr{C}^{m}(X)}$  for the local  $\mathscr{C}^{m}$ -norm on local sections of  $\pi^*$  End(E) induced by  $h^E$  and derivation by  $\nabla^{\pi^*}$  End(E) in the direction of  $x_0 \in W$ . We are now ready to state the following result, which was first proved in [[12,](#page-42-0) Thm. 4.18'] in the case of the spin*<sup>c</sup>* Dirac operator, and which in the following form comes essentially from [\[22](#page-42-0), Thm. 2.1].

<span id="page-9-0"></span>LEMMA 2.5. *For any*  $m, k \in \mathbb{N}, \varepsilon > 0,$  *and*  $\delta \in [0, 1]$ *, there exist*  $\theta \in [0, 1]$  *and*  $C > 0$  *such that for all*  $x_0 \in X$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , *and*  $|Z|$ ,  $|Z'| < \varepsilon p^{-\theta/2}$ ,

$$
\left| p^{-n} P_{p,x_0}(\phi_{x_0}(Z), \phi_{x_0}(Z')) - \sum_{r=0}^k p^{-r/2} J_{r,x_0} \mathcal{P}_{x_0}(\sqrt{p}Z, \sqrt{p}Z') \kappa_{x_0}^{-1/2}(Z) \kappa_{x_0}^{-1/2}(Z') \right|_{\mathscr{C}^m(X)} \leq C p^{-(k+1)/2+\delta}, \tag{2.22}
$$

*where*  $\{J_{r,x_0}(Z, Z')\}_{r \in \mathbb{N}}$  *is a family of polynomials in*  $Z, Z' \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  *of the same parity as r and with values in*  $\text{End}(E_{x_0})$ , *depending smoothly on*  $x_0 \in X$ . *Furthermore*, *we have*

$$
J_{0,x_0}(Z, Z') \equiv \mathrm{Id}_{E_{x_0}}.\tag{2.23}
$$

Parallel to Proposition  $2.3$  and Lemma  $2.5$ , we have the following result on the asymptotic expansion as  $p \to +\infty$  of the Berezin–Toeplitz operator ([2.13\)](#page-7-0). It was first proved in  $[27,$  $[27,$  Lemma 4.6] in the spin<sup>c</sup> case and in this form comes essentially from [[19,](#page-42-0) Lemma 3.3].

LEMMA 2.6. *Let*  $F \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(X, \text{End}(E))$ . *Then for any*  $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0, m, k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $\theta \in ]0, 1[$ , *there is*  $C_{m,k,\theta,\varepsilon} > 0$  *such that for all*  $x_0 \in X$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , *and*  $Z, Z' \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ *such that*  $|Z - Z'| > \varepsilon p^{-\theta/2}$ ,

$$
|T_{F,p}(\phi_{x_0}(Z), \phi_{x_0}(Z'))|_{\mathscr{C}^m} \le C_{m,k,\theta,\varepsilon} p^{-k}.
$$
 (2.24)

*Furthermore, for any*  $m, k \in \mathbb{N}, \varepsilon > 0$ , and  $\delta \in ]0, 1[$ , *there are*  $C > 0$  *and*  $\theta \in ]0, 1[$ *such that for all*  $x_0 \in X$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ,  $|Z|$ ,  $|Z'| < \varepsilon p^{-\theta/2}$ ,

$$
\left| p^{-n} T_{F, p, x_0}(\phi_{x_0}(Z), \phi_{x_0}(Z')) \right|
$$
  
 
$$
- \sum_{r=0}^k p^{-r/2} \mathcal{Q}_{r, x_0} \mathcal{P}_{x_0}(\sqrt{p}Z, \sqrt{p}Z') \kappa_{x_0}^{-1/2}(Z) \kappa_{x_0}^{-1/2}(Z') \Big|_{\mathscr{C}^m(X)}
$$
  
 
$$
\leq C p^{-(k+1)/2+\delta}, \qquad (2.25)
$$

*where*  $\{Q_{r,x_0}(Z, Z')\}_{r \in \mathbb{N}}$  *is a family of polynomials in*  $Z, Z' \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  *of the same parity as r and with values in*  $\text{End}(E_{x_0})$ *, depending smoothly on*  $x_0 \in X$ *. Furthermore*, *we have*

$$
Q_{0,x_0}(Z, Z') \equiv F_{x_0}.
$$
\n(2.26)

#### *2.3. Gaussian Integrals*

We now recall some well-known facts about Gaussian integrals, which we will use for local computations in the next sections. For any  $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denote the canonical scalar product of  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . For any positive symmetric matrix *C* acting <span id="page-10-0"></span>on  $\mathbb{R}^k$ , we recall the following classical formula for the Gaussian integral:

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \exp(-\pi \langle Z, CZ \rangle) dZ = \det^{-1/2} C.
$$
 (2.27)

By analytic continuation this formula is still valid when *C* is a symmetric matrix with complex coefficients, providing the integral is well defined along a path in the space of symmetric matrices joining *C* with a real positive symmetric matrix. Specifically, for a positive symmetric matrix *A* and a real symmetric matrix *B*, we will consider the path

$$
\gamma : [0, 1] \to \text{GL}_k(\mathbb{C})
$$
  
\n
$$
t \mapsto A + t\sqrt{-1}B.
$$
\n(2.28)

Then (2.27) holds for  $C = A + \sqrt{-1}B$  with the determination of the square root given by continuation along the image of  $(2.28)$  by det<sup>-1</sup> :  $GL_n(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{C}$ . Henceforth we will always use this determination of the square root of the determinant for  $C = A + \sqrt{-1}B$  as before.

# **3. Isotropic States**

In this section, we use the context and notations of Section [2](#page-4-0). In particular, recall that  $(X, \omega)$  is a compact symplectic manifold of dimension 2*n* and that the curvature of  $\nabla^L$  on  $(L, h^{\overline{L}})$  over X satisfies ([1.1](#page-0-0)).

## *3.1. Bohr–Sommerfeld Submanifolds*

An immersed submanifold  $\iota : \Lambda \to X$  is said to be *isotropic* if  $\iota^* \omega = 0$ . If in addition dim  $\Lambda = n$ , it is said to be *Lagrangian*. Let  $\nabla^{i^*L}$ ,  $h^{i^*L}$  be the connection and Hermitian metric induced by  $\nabla^L$ ,  $h^L$  on the pullback line bundle  $\iota^* L$  over  $\Lambda$ . Note that by ([1.1](#page-0-0)) the condition  $\iota^* \omega = 0$  implies that  $\nabla^{\iota^* L}$  is *flat*. This observation motivates the following definition.

DEFINITION 3.1. A properly immersed oriented isotropic submanifold  $\iota : \Lambda \to X$ is said to satisfy the *Bohr–Sommerfeld condition* if there exists a nonvanishing smooth section  $\zeta \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\Lambda, \iota^*L)$  satisfying

$$
\nabla^{l^*L}\zeta = 0.\tag{3.1}
$$

Taking *ζ* satisfying further  $|\zeta(x)|_{\ell^*L} = 1$  for any  $x \in \Lambda$ , the data of  $(\Lambda, \iota, \zeta)$  is called a *Bohr–Sommerfeld submanifold* of *X*, or a *Bohr–Sommerfeld Lagrangian* if in addition dim  $\Lambda = n$ .

Note that the properness hypothesis on  $\iota$  implies that  $\Lambda$  is compact. Furthermore, this definition depends only on the symplectic structure on  $(X, \omega)$  and the pre-quantization condition ([1.1](#page-0-0)) on  $(L, h^L, \nabla^L)$ . As  $\nabla^L$  is Hermitian, up to renormalization, we can always assume that  $\zeta \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\Lambda, \iota^*L)$  satisfying (3.1) is such that  $|\zeta(x)|_{\iota^*L} = 1$  for any  $x \in \Lambda$ . Finally, by the compactness of X the properness hypothesis on  $\iota$  is equivalent to the compactness of  $\Lambda$ .

<span id="page-11-0"></span>REMARK 3.2. As noted before, if  $\iota : \Lambda \to X$  is isotropic, then  $\nabla^{i^*L}$  is flat over  $\Lambda$ and hence determined by its holonomy  $hol_{\iota^*L}: \pi_1(\Lambda) \to S^1 \subset \mathbb{C}$ . We can then reformulate ([3.1](#page-10-0)) by saying that  $\iota : \Lambda \to X$  satisfies the Bohr–Sommerfeld condition if and only if  $hol_{\iota^*L} = \{1\}$ . Now if the order of  $hol_{\iota^*L}$  is finite, then there exists a finite covering  $j : \hat{\Lambda} \to \Lambda$  such that hol<sub>*i*\**i*\**L* = {1}, so that  $\iota \circ j : \hat{\Lambda} \to X$ </sub> satisfies the Bohr–Sommerfeld condition. In particular, if there is  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\iota : \Lambda \to X$  satisfies the Bohr–Sommerfeld condition for  $L^k$  instead of *L*, then the order of hol<sub>*ι*<sup>\*</sup>L</sub> divides *k* and thus is finite. Such  $\iota : \Lambda \to X$  is called a *Bohr*– *Sommerfeld submanifold of order k,* and up to finite covering, Definition [3.1](#page-10-0) also accounts for these. In the same line of thought, if  $\iota : \Lambda \to X$  is not orientable, then we can always work on the orientation double cover of  $\Lambda$ .

Let us now set some notations. We write  $\iota^L$ ,  $\iota^E$ , and  $\iota_p$  for the natural maps covering  $\iota : \Lambda \to X$  on the respective total spaces of L, E, and  $E_p$  for any  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . If *ζ* is any section of  $i^*L$ , then we write  $\zeta^p$  for the *p*th power of *ζ* defined as a section of  $\iota^* L^p$ . If additionally *f* is a section of  $\iota^* E$ , then we write  $\zeta^p f$  for the induced tensor product in  $\iota^* E_p$ .

From now on we fix an almost complex structure *J* on *T X* compatible with  $ω$ , an auxiliary Hermitian vector bundle  $(E, h^E)$  with Hermitian connection  $∇^E$ , and a *J*-invariant Riemannian metric  $g^{TX}$  on *TX*. We write  $g^{T\Lambda} := \iota^* g^{TX}$  for the induced Riemannian metric on  $T \Lambda$  and  $d\nu_{\Lambda}$  for the Riemannian volume form of  $(\Lambda, g^{T\Lambda})$ . Recall that  $\Lambda$  is compact by hypothesis.

Definition 3.3. The *isotropic state* associated with a Bohr-Sommerfeld manifold  $(\Lambda, \iota, \zeta)$  and  $f \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\Lambda, \iota^*E)$  is the family of sections  $\{s_{f,p} \in \mathscr{H}_p\}_{p \in \mathbb{N}^*}$  defined for any  $x \in X$  by the formula

$$
s_{f,p}(x) = \int_{\Lambda} P_p(x, \iota(y)) \iota_p \zeta^p f(y) \, dv_{\Lambda}(y). \tag{3.2}
$$

As *ι* is locally an embedding, when working locally, we will often omit the mention of  $\iota$ , considering locally  $\Lambda$  as a submanifold of *X*. With this convention, equation (3.2) becomes

$$
s_{f,p}(x) = \int_{\Lambda} P_p(x, y) \zeta^p f(y) \, dv_{\Lambda}(y). \tag{3.3}
$$

We list the basic properties of isotropic states in the following proposition, which holds for any  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ .

PROPOSITION 3.4. *For any*  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\Lambda, \iota^*E)$ , *we have the following additivity property*:

$$
s_{f_1+f_2,p} = s_{f_1,p} + s_{f_2,p}.\tag{3.4}
$$

*For any*  $s \in \mathcal{H}_p$ , we have the following reproducing property:

$$
\langle s, s_{f,p} \rangle_p = \int_{\Lambda} \langle s(\iota(x)), \iota_p. \zeta^p f(x) \rangle_{E_p} dv_{\Lambda}(x). \tag{3.5}
$$

<span id="page-12-0"></span>*For any*  $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\Lambda, \iota^*E)$  *and*  $F \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(X, \text{End}(E)),$  *the action of*  $T_{F, p}$  *on*  $s_{f, p}$  *is given for any*  $x \in X$  *by the formula* 

$$
T_{F,p}s_{f,p} = \int_{\Lambda} T_{F,p}(x,\iota(y))\iota_p.\zeta^p f(y) \, dv_{\Lambda}(y). \tag{3.6}
$$

*Proof.* First, the additivity property ([3.4](#page-11-0)) is obvious from ([3.2](#page-11-0)). Next, recall that *P<sub>p</sub>* is self-adjoint with respect to  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_p$  for any  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$  and restricts to the identity of  $\mathcal{H}_p$ . Then using ([2.12](#page-6-0)), ([3.3](#page-11-0)), and the Fubini theorem, for any  $s \in \mathcal{H}_p$ , we compute

$$
\langle s, s_{f,p} \rangle_p = \int_X \langle s(y), \int_{\Lambda} P_p(y, \iota(x)) \iota_p \cdot \zeta^p f(x) \, dv_{\Lambda}(x) \rangle_{E_p} \, dv_X(y)
$$
  
= 
$$
\int_{\Lambda} \langle \int_X P_p(\iota(x), y) s(y) \, dv_X(y), \iota_p \cdot \zeta^p f(x) \rangle_{E_p} \, dv_{\Lambda}(x)
$$
  
= 
$$
\int_{\Lambda} \langle s(\iota(x)), \iota_p \cdot \zeta^p f(x) \rangle_{E_p} \, dv_{\Lambda}(x).
$$
 (3.7)

The reproducing property  $(3.5)$  $(3.5)$  $(3.5)$  follows from  $(3.7)$ . Finally, from  $(2.13)$  we get for any  $f \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\Lambda, \iota^*E)$  and  $F \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(X, \text{End}(E))$  that  $T_{F, p}s_{f, p} = P_pFs_{f, p}$ . Then by  $(2.14)$ ,  $(3.2)$ , and the Fubini theorem, for any  $x \in X$ , we get

$$
(T_{F,p}s_{f,p})(x) = \int_X \int_{\Lambda} P_p(x, w) F(w) P_p(w, \iota(y)) \iota_p \cdot \zeta^p f(y) dv_{\Lambda}(y) dv_X(w)
$$
  
= 
$$
\int_{\Lambda} T_{F,p}(w, \iota(y)) \iota_p \cdot \zeta^p f(y) dv_{\Lambda}(y).
$$
 (3.8)

From  $(3.8)$  we get  $(3.6)$ .

## *3.2. Asymptotic Expansion of Isotropic States*

In this section, we establish the first semiclassical properties of isotropic states. In particular, we show that the  $L^2$ -norm of an isotropic state admits an asymptotic expansion as  $p \rightarrow +\infty$ , and we compute the highest order term.

For any  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , we write  $|\cdot|_{E_p}$  for the norm on  $E_p$  induced by  $h^L$  and  $h^E$ . In the following proposition, we show how an isotropic state concentrates around the image of the associated isotropic submanifold as  $p \rightarrow +\infty$ .

PROPOSITION 3.5. *Let*  $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\Lambda, \iota^*E)$ . *For any closed subset*  $K \subset X$  *such that*  $K \cap \iota(\Lambda) = \emptyset$  *and for any*  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , *there exists*  $C_k > 0$  *such that for all*  $x \in K$  *and*  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ,

$$
|s_{f,p}(x)|_{E_p} < C_k p^{-k}.\tag{3.9}
$$

*Proof.* This is a direct consequence of Proposition [2.3](#page-7-0) and formula  $(3.2)$ .

Recall that for any  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , we write  $\|\cdot\|_p$  for the norm on  $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}(X, E_p)$  induced by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_p$ , and we write  $|\cdot|_{i^*E}$  for the norm on  $i^*E$  over  $\Lambda$  induced by  $h^E$ . The rest of the section is devoted to the proof of the following theorem.

<span id="page-13-0"></span>THEOREM 3.6. Let  $f \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\Lambda, \iota^* E)$ . Then there exist  $a_r \in \mathbb{R}, r \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that for *any*  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  *and as*  $p \to +\infty$ ,

$$
||s_{f,p}||_p^2 = p^{n-\dim \Lambda/2} \sum_{r=0}^k p^{-r} a_r + O(p^{n-\dim \Lambda/2 - (k+1)})
$$
 (3.10)

*with the first coefficient*  $a_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  *given by* 

$$
a_0 = 2^{\dim \Lambda/2} \int_{\Lambda} |f|^2_{\iota^* E} \det(\dot{R}_{x_0}^L/2\pi) \frac{dv_{\Lambda}}{dv_{\Lambda,\omega}} dv_{\Lambda}.
$$
 (3.11)

*Additionally, for any*  $F \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(X, \text{End}(E))$ , *the product*  $\langle T_{F,p} s_{f,p}, s_{f,p} \rangle_p$  satisfies *the expansion of* (3.10) *with*  $a_r \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , and

$$
a_0 = 2^{\dim \Lambda/2} \int_{\Lambda} \langle Ff, f \rangle_{\iota^* E} \det(\dot{R}_{x_0}^L/2\pi) \frac{dv_{\Lambda}}{dv_{\Lambda,\omega}} dv_{\Lambda}.
$$
 (3.12)

*Proof.* Note first that the reproducing property [\(3.5\)](#page-11-0) gives

$$
||s_{f,p}||_p^2 = \int_{\Lambda} \langle s_{f,p}(t(x)), \zeta^p f(x) \rangle_{E_p} dv_{\Lambda}(x).
$$
 (3.13)

Using (3.13), we are reduced to evaluate  $s_{f,p}$  on the image of  $\iota : \Lambda \to X$ . Then let  $x_0 \in X$  be in the image of *ι*. As  $\iota : \Lambda \to X$  is an immersion, there is an integer *m* ∈  $\mathbb N$  such that for any small enough connected neighborhood *V* of *x*<sub>0</sub> in *X*, there are *m* disjoint connected open sets  $U_1, \ldots, U_m \subset \Lambda$  such that  $\iota^{-1}(V) = \bigcup_{j=1}^m U_j$ . Using Proposition [2.3,](#page-7-0) we can localize the problem as  $p \to +\infty$  in the following way:

$$
s_{f,p}(x_0) = \int_{\Lambda} P_p(x_0, \iota(x)) \zeta^p f(x) \, dv_{\Lambda}(x)
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{j=1}^m \int_{U_j} P_p(x_0, \iota(x)) \zeta^p f(x) \, dv_{\Lambda}(x) + O(p^{-\infty}).
$$
 (3.14)

In view of  $(3.10)$ ,  $(3.13)$ , and  $(3.14)$ , we can assume that *f* has compact support around  $\bigcup_{j=1}^{m} U_j$ . Using [\(3.4\)](#page-11-0) and (3.13), we are further reduced to the case where *f* has compact support around one of the  $U_j$  for some *j*. As  $U := U_j$  is embedded in *X* through *ι*, we can consider *U* as a submanifold of *X*, and  $(3.14)$  translates to

$$
s_{f,p}(x_0) = \int_U P_p(x_0, x) \zeta^p f(x) \, dv_\Lambda(x) + O(p^{-\infty}).\tag{3.15}
$$

By the definition of *U* as a submanifold of *X*, we can take  $\phi_{x_0}: B^{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}(0, \varepsilon) \to V$ with  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $V \subset X$  as in ([2.17](#page-7-0)) such that  $\phi_{x_0}$  identifies  $U \subset V$  with  $B^{\Sigma}(0, \varepsilon)$ , where  $\Sigma$  is a vector subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . Then  $\Sigma$  is an isotropic subspace of  $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \Omega)$ . We identify *E* and *L* over  $B^{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}(0, \varepsilon)$  with  $E_{x_0}$  and  $L_{x_0}$  as in Section [2.2](#page-7-0). In particular, we use the unitary vector  $\zeta(x_0)$  to identify  $L_{x_0}$  with  $\mathbb{C}$ , where the section  $\zeta \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\Lambda, \iota^*L)$  is associated with  $(\Lambda, \iota, \zeta)$  as in Definition [3.1.](#page-10-0) As  $\zeta$  is parallel with respect to  $\nabla^{i^*L}$  along  $\Lambda$ , it is identified with  $1 \in \mathbb{C}$  over  $B^{\Sigma}(0, \varepsilon)$  in

<span id="page-14-0"></span>this trivialization. Let  $du$  be the Lebesgue measure of  $\Sigma$ , and define the function  $h \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(B^{\Sigma}(0,\varepsilon),\mathbb{R})$  for all  $u \in B^{\Sigma}(0,\varepsilon)$  by

$$
dv_{\Lambda}(u) = h(u) du
$$
, with  $h(0) = (dv_{\Lambda}/dv_{\Lambda,\omega})(x_0)$ . (3.16)

Using Corollary [2.4](#page-8-0), Lemma [2.5](#page-9-0), and ([2.21](#page-8-0)), for any  $\delta \in [0, 1]$ , we get  $\theta \in [0, 1]$ such that as  $p \rightarrow +\infty$ ,

$$
\langle s_{f,p}(x_{0}), \zeta^{p} f(x_{0}) \rangle_{E_{p}}
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{B^{\Sigma}(0,\varepsilon p^{-\theta/2})} \langle P_{p}(x_{0}, \phi_{x_{0}}(u)) \zeta^{p} f(\phi_{x_{0}}(u)), \zeta^{p} f(x_{0}) \rangle_{E_{p}} dv_{\Lambda}(u) + O(p^{-\infty})
$$
\n
$$
= p^{n} \int_{B^{\Sigma}(0,\varepsilon p^{-\theta/2})} \sum_{r=0}^{k} p^{-r/2} \langle J_{r,x_{0}} \mathcal{P}_{x_{0}}(0, \sqrt{p}u) f_{x_{0}}(u), f(x_{0}) \rangle_{E}
$$
\n
$$
\times \kappa_{x_{0}}^{-1/2} (u) \kappa_{x_{0}}^{-1/2} (0) dv_{\Lambda}(u)
$$
\n
$$
+ p^{n} \int_{B^{\Sigma}(0,\varepsilon p^{-\theta/2})} O(p^{-(k+1)/2+\delta}) dv_{\Lambda}(u) + O(p^{-\infty})
$$
\n
$$
= p^{n} \int_{B^{\Sigma}(0,\varepsilon p^{-\theta/2})} \det(\dot{R}_{x_{0}}^{L}/2\pi)^{1/2}
$$
\n
$$
\times \sum_{r=0}^{k} p^{-r/2} \langle J_{r,x_{0}} \mathcal{P}_{x_{0}}(0, \sqrt{p}u) f_{x_{0}}(u), f(x_{0}) \rangle_{E}
$$
\n
$$
\times \kappa_{x_{0}}^{-1/2} (u) h(u) du + p^{n} p^{-\theta} \dim \Lambda/2 O(p^{-(k+1)/2+\delta}). \qquad (3.17)
$$

Let us write  $g_{x_0} = h \kappa_{x_0}^{1/2} f_{x_0} \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(B^{\Sigma}(0,\varepsilon), E_{x_0})$ . Then from ([2.21](#page-8-0)) and (3.16) we get the following Taylor expansion in  $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$  up to order  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

$$
g_{x_0}(u) = (h\kappa_{x_0}^{-1/2} f_{x_0})(0) + \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq k} \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} g_{x_0}}{\partial u^{\alpha}} \frac{u^{\alpha}}{\alpha!} + O(|u|^{k+1})
$$
  
=  $f(x_0) (dv_{\Lambda}/dv_{\Lambda,\omega})(x_0) \det(\dot{R}_{x_0}^L/2\pi)^{1/2}$   
+ 
$$
\sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq k} p^{-\alpha/2} \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} g_{x_0}}{\partial u^{\alpha}} \frac{(\sqrt{p}u)^{\alpha}}{\alpha!}
$$
  
+ 
$$
p^{-(k+1)/2} O(|\sqrt{p}u|^{k+1}).
$$
 (3.18)

On the other hand, recall from Lemma [2.5](#page-9-0) that  $J_{r,x_0}(0, \sqrt{p}u) \in \text{End}(E_{x_0})$  is a polynomial in  $\sqrt{p}u$  of the same parity as  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $M_k$  be the supremum of the degree of  $J_{r,x_0}$  for  $1 \le r \le k$ , and write  $\delta' = \delta + (M_k + k + 1 + d)(1 - \theta)/2$ . From (3.17) and (3.18) we deduce the existence of a sequence  $\{G_r\}_{r \in \mathbb{N}}$  of polynomials in one variable of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of the same parity as *r*, with values in  $\mathbb C$  and with

$$
G_0 = |f(x_0)|_E^2 \frac{dv_{\Lambda}}{dv_{\Lambda,\omega}}(x_0) \det(\dot{R}_{x_0}^L/2\pi)
$$
 (3.19)

<span id="page-15-0"></span>such that, as  $p \to +\infty$ ,

$$
\langle s_{f,p}(x_0), \zeta^p f(x_0) \rangle_{E_p}
$$
  
=  $p^n \sum_{r=0}^k p^{-r/2} \int_{B^{\Sigma}(0, \varepsilon p^{-\theta/2})} G_r(\sqrt{p}u) \mathcal{P}_{x_0}(0, \sqrt{p}u) du$   
+  $O(p^{n-(\dim \Lambda + k+1)/2+\delta'})$   
=  $p^{n-\dim \Lambda/2} \sum_{r=0}^k p^{-r/2} \int_{B^{\Sigma}(0, \varepsilon p^{(1-\theta)/2})} G_r(u) \mathcal{P}_{x_0}(0, u) du$   
+  $O(p^{n-(\dim \Lambda + k+1)/2+\delta'}).$  (3.20)

Recall from ([2.20](#page-8-0)) that

$$
\mathscr{P}_{x_0}(0, u) = \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{2}|u|^2\right),\tag{3.21}
$$

so that as  $1 - \theta > 0$ , the integral of  $\mathscr{P}_{x_0}(0, u)$  over  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B^{\Sigma}(0, \varepsilon p^{(1-\theta)/2})$  with respect to *u* decreases exponentially as  $p \rightarrow +\infty$ , and then we deduce from (3.20) that

$$
\langle s_{f,p}(x_0), \zeta^p f(x_0) \rangle_{E_p}
$$
  
=  $p^{n-d/2} \sum_{r=0}^k p^{-r/2} \int_{\Sigma} G_r(u) \mathcal{P}_{x_0}(0, u) du$   
× +  $O(p^{n-(\dim \Lambda + k+1)/2+\delta'}).$  (3.22)

As  $G_r$  is of the same parity as r, we immediately deduce from  $(3.21)$  that for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$
\int_{\Sigma} G_{2m+1}(u) \mathcal{P}_{x_0}(0, u) du = 0.
$$
\n(3.23)

Finally, from  $(3.19)$  and  $(3.21)$  we get the following formula for the highest order term of  $(3.22)$ :

$$
\int_{\Sigma} G_0(u) \mathcal{P}_{x_0}(0, u) du
$$
\n=  $|f(x_0)|_E^2 (dv_\Lambda / dv_{\Lambda, \omega})(x_0) \det(\dot{R}_{x_0}^L / 2\pi) \int_{\Sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{2}|u|^2\right) du$   
\n=  $2^{\dim \Lambda/2} |f(x_0)|_E^2 (dv_\Lambda / dv_{\Lambda, \omega})(x_0) \det(\dot{R}_{x_0}^L / 2\pi).$  (3.24)

Then recalling that all the estimates above are uniform in  $x_0 \in \iota(\Lambda)$ , by [\(3.13\)](#page-13-0), (3.23), and ([2.5](#page-5-0)) it suffices to integrate (3.22) and (3.24) over  $x_0 \in i(\Lambda)$  with respect to  $dv<sub>\Lambda</sub>$  to get ([3.10](#page-13-0)) and [\(3.11](#page-13-0)).

Using property [\(3.6](#page-12-0)), the proof of the asymptotic expansion as  $p \to +\infty$  of  $\langle T_{F,p} s_{f,p}, s_{f,p} \rangle_p$  is completely analogous to the proof of the asymptotic expansion of  $||s_p||_p$ , simply replacing the polynomials  $J_{r,x_0}$  of Lemma [2.5](#page-9-0) by the polynomials  $Q_{r,x_0}$  of Lemma [2.6](#page-9-0) in the previous computations. This achieves the proof of Theorem 3.6. proof of Theorem [3.6](#page-13-0).

## **4. Isotropic Intersections**

<span id="page-16-0"></span>Let us consider two Bohr–Sommerfeld submanifolds  $(\Lambda_i, \iota_i, \zeta_i)$  together with  $f_j \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\Lambda_j, \iota_j^*E)$  for  $j = 1, 2$ , and set  $d_j = \dim \Lambda_j$ . In this section, we establish the existence of an asymptotic expansion as  $p \to +\infty$  of the Hermitian product  $\langle s_{f_1,p}, s_{f_2,p} \rangle_p$  of the two associated isotropic states, and we compute the highest order term, which depends only on the geometry of the intersection. Note that the case  $\{s_{f_1,p}\}_{p \in \mathbb{N}^*} = \{s_{f_2,p}\}_{p \in \mathbb{N}^*}$  is precisely the result of Theorem [3.6](#page-13-0).

We need the following regularity assumption, which we will use throughout the section.

DEFINITION 4.1. We say that two proper immersions  $\iota_j : \Lambda_j \to X, j = 1, 2$ , are intersecting *cleanly* if for any  $x \in \iota_1(\Lambda_1) \cap \iota_2(\Lambda_2)$  and  $y_i \in \Lambda_i$  such that  $\iota_1(y_1) = \iota_2(y_2) = x$ , there exist neighborhoods  $U_j \subset \Lambda_j$  of  $y_j$  such that the intersection  $\iota_1(U_1) \cap \iota_2(U_2)$  is a submanifold of *X* satisfying

$$
T_{x}\iota_1(U_1)\cap T_{x}\iota_2(U_2)=T_{x}(\iota_1(U_1)\cap \iota_2(U_2)).
$$

The *intersection* of two immersions  $\iota_1 : \Lambda_1 \to X$  and  $\iota_2 : \Lambda_2 \to X$  over X is defined as their fibered product, which we write  $\Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2$  and which comes with two immersions  $j_i : \Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2 \to \Lambda_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , such that  $\iota_1 \circ j_1 = \iota_2 \circ j_2$  and universal for this property. Under the assumption of Definition 4.1, this fibered product has a natural smooth structure. In fact, consider smooth atlases  $\mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2$  of  $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2$ , respectively, such that for any  $U_j \in \mathcal{U}_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , the immersion  $\iota_j$  restricted to  $U_i$  is an embedding satisfying the assumption of Definition 4.1 as soon as the intersection is nonempty. Then we can define an atlas of  $\Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2$  as the set of all intersections  $U_1 \cap U_2$  for all  $U_1 \in \mathcal{U}_1$  and  $U_2 \in \mathcal{U}_2$ , with transition maps induced by those of  $\mathcal{U}_1$  and  $\mathcal{U}_2$ .

Note that this definition of intersection is local and reduces to the usual one in the case of embeddings. For that reason, we can readily reduce to the usual definition of a clean intersection when working locally. A typical situation where this general definition is needed is in the natural case where  $\iota_1 : \Lambda_1 \to X$  and  $\iota_2 : \Lambda_2 \to X$  are Bohr–Sommerfeld submanifolds of respective orders  $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and  $k_2 \in \mathbb{N}^*$  in the sense Remark [3.2,](#page-11-0) with  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  prime with each other.

#### *4.1. Asymptotic Expansion of Discrete Intersections*

In this section, we deal with the case of discrete intersections. We first consider the easy case where the intersection is empty.

PROPOSITION 4.2. *Suppose that*  $\Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2 = \emptyset$ , *and let*  $F \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(X, \text{End}(E))$ . *Then for any*  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , *there exists*  $C_k > 0$  *such that for all*  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ,

$$
|\langle T_{F,p} s_{f_1,p}, s_{f_2,p} \rangle_p| < C_k p^{-k}.\tag{4.1}
$$

*Proof.* Using the reproducing property [\(3.5\)](#page-11-0), for any  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , we get

$$
\langle T_{F,p} s_{f_1,p}, s_{f_2,p} \rangle_p = \int_{\Lambda} \langle T_{F,p} s_{f_1,p}(i_2(x)), \zeta_2^p f_2(x) \rangle_{E_p} dv_{\Lambda_2}(x). \tag{4.2}
$$

<span id="page-17-0"></span>In particular, as  $\Lambda_2$  is compact by hypothesis, we can choose  $K = \iota_2(\Lambda_2)$  in Proposition 3.5 and we deduce (4.1) from (4.2) Proposition  $3.5$ , and we deduce  $(4.1)$  from  $(4.2)$  $(4.2)$  $(4.2)$ .

In view of Proposition [4.2](#page-16-0), from now on we assume that  $\Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2$  is not empty. In the statement of the following theorem, the immersions  $j_i : \Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2 \to \Lambda_i$  and  $\iota_i : \Lambda_i \to X$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , are implicit, and we omit to mention them for simplicity.

**THEOREM** 4.3. *Suppose that*  $(\Lambda_1, \iota_1, \zeta_1)$  *and*  $(\Lambda_2, \iota_2, \zeta_2)$  *intersect cleanly and that their intersection*  $\Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2$  *in the sense above is discrete. Set*  $m = #\Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2$ *and write*  $\Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2 = \{x_1, \ldots, x_m\}$ . *Then for any*  $F \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(X, \text{End}(E))$ , *there exist*  $b_{q,r} \in \mathbb{C}, r \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq q \leq m$ , *such that for any*  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  *and as*  $p \to +\infty$ ,

$$
\langle T_{F,p} s_{f_1,p}, s_{f_2,p} \rangle_p
$$
  
=  $p^{n-(d_1+d_2)/2} \sum_{q=1}^m \lambda_q^p \sum_{r=0}^k p^{-r} b_{q,r} + O(p^{n-(d_1+d_2)/2-(k+1)}),$  (4.3)

 $\chi$ <sup>*N*</sup>  $\alpha$ </sub> =  $\langle \zeta_1(x_q), \zeta_2(x_q) \rangle_L$ . *Furthermore, if* dim  $\Lambda_1 = n$ *, then we have* 

$$
b_{q,0} = 2^{n/2} \langle F_{x_q} f_1(x_q), f_2(x_q) \rangle_{x_q} \det(\dot{R}_{x_q}^L / 2\pi) \frac{d v_{\Lambda_1}}{d v_{\Lambda_1, \omega}} \frac{d v_{\Lambda_2}}{d v_{\Lambda_2, \omega}} (x_q)
$$
  
× det<sup>-1/2</sup>  $\left\{ \sqrt{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n h_{\omega}^{TX} (e_k, v_i) \omega(e_k, v_j) \right\}_{i,j=1}^{d_2},$  (4.4)

*where*  $\langle e_i \rangle_{i=1}^n$ ,  $\langle v_j \rangle_{j=1}^{d_2}$  *are oriented orthonormal bases for*  $g_{\omega}^{TX}$  *of the tangent spaces of*  $\Lambda_1$ ,  $\Lambda_2$  *in X at*  $x_q$ *, and the square root of the determinant is determined by* ([2.28](#page-10-0)).

*Proof.* We will prove Theorem 4.3 for  $F = Id_E$  (so that  $T_{F, p} = P_p$ ), the proof of the general case being totally analogous by Lemma  $2.6$  and property  $(3.6)$  $(3.6)$  $(3.6)$ . First, using the reproducing property ([3.5](#page-11-0)), for any  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , we get

$$
\langle s_{f_1,p}, s_{f_2,p} \rangle_p = \int_{\Lambda} \langle s_{f_1,p}(\iota_2(x)), \zeta_2^p f_2(x) \rangle_{E_p} dv_{\Lambda_2}(x). \tag{4.5}
$$

Then we can reproduce the argument in the proof of Proposition [4.2,](#page-16-0) using Proposition  $3.5$  to reduce the proof to the case of  $f_2$  with compact support in a given neighborhood of  $\iota_2^{-1}(\iota_1(\Lambda_1) \cap \iota_2(\Lambda_2))$ , which is a finite set by assumption. Symmetrically, using the reproducing property of  $s_{f_1,p}$  instead of  $s_{f_2,p}$ , we can assume further that *f*<sup>1</sup> has compact support in a given neighborhood of  $\iota_1^{-1}(\iota_1(\Lambda_1) \cap \iota_2(\Lambda_2))$ . By the additivity property [\(3.4\)](#page-11-0) and (4.3), we are further reduced to the case of  $f_i$  with compact support in a neighborhood of a single point  $y_i \in \Lambda_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Using Proposition [3.5](#page-12-0), we are finally reduced to the case  $\iota_1(y_1) = \iota_2(y_2)$ . Set  $x_0 := \iota_1(y_1) = \iota_2(y_2) \in X$ .

Let  $U_j \subset \Lambda_j$  be as in Definition [4.1,](#page-16-0) intersecting cleanly at  $x_0 \in X$  only. In particular, using Definition  $3.3$  of an isotropic state, equation  $(4.5)$  becomes, as <span id="page-18-0"></span> $p \rightarrow +\infty$ ,

$$
\langle s_{1,p}, s_{2,p} \rangle_p = \int_{U_2} \langle s_{f_1,p}(x), \zeta_2^p f_2(x) \rangle_{E_p} dv_{\Lambda_2}(x) + O(p^{-\infty})
$$
  
= 
$$
\int_{U_2} \int_{U_1} \langle P_p(x, y) \zeta_1^p f_1(y), \zeta_2^p f_2(x) \rangle_{E_p} dv_{\Lambda_1}(y) dv_{\Lambda_2}(x)
$$
  
+ 
$$
O(p^{-\infty}).
$$
 (4.6)

By definition of  $U_1$  as a submanifold of *X*, we can consider a chart as in  $(2.17)$  $(2.17)$  $(2.17)$ in which  $U_1$  is identified with  $B^{\Sigma_1}(0, \varepsilon)$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ , where  $\Sigma_1$  is an isotropic space of  $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \Omega)$ . In this chart, we consider a projection  $\pi_{1,2} : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \to \Sigma_2$  preserving  $\Sigma_1$ , where  $\Sigma_2$  it the tangent space to  $U_2$  at  $x_0$  in this chart, and use it to identify  $U_2$  with  $B^{\Sigma_2}(0, \varepsilon)$ . In that way, we can construct  $\phi_{x_0}: B^{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}(0, \varepsilon) \to V$ , with  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $V \subset X$  as in ([2.17](#page-7-0)) such that  $V \cup \Lambda_i = U_i$ , such that  $\varphi_{x_0}$  identifies *U<sub>i</sub>* with  $B^{\Sigma_j}(0, \varepsilon)$  for any  $j = 1, 2$ , where  $\Sigma_1$  and  $\Sigma_2$  are isotropic subspaces of  $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \Omega)$ . As *U*<sub>1</sub> and *U*<sub>2</sub> intersect cleanly at *x*<sub>0</sub> only, we have  $\Sigma_1 \cap \Sigma_2 = \{0\}$ . We identify *E* and *L* over  $B^{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}(0, \varepsilon)$  with  $E_{x_0}$  and  $L_{x_0}$  as in Section [2.2](#page-7-0) and use the unitary vector  $\zeta_1(x_0)$  to identify  $L_{x_0}$  with  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then  $\zeta_1$  is identified with  $1 \in \mathbb{C}$  over  $B^{\Sigma_1}(0,\varepsilon)$  in this trivialization. As  $\zeta_2$  is parallel with respect to  $\nabla^{l_2^*L}$  over  $U_2$ , it is identified with  $\bar{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}$  over  $B^{\Sigma_2}(0, \varepsilon)$ , where  $\lambda = \langle \zeta_1(x_0), \zeta_2(x_0) \rangle_L$ .

Then, as  $p \rightarrow +\infty$ , equation (4.6) becomes

$$
\langle s_{1,p}, s_{2,p} \rangle_p = \lambda^p \int_{B^{\Sigma_2}(0,\varepsilon)} \int_{B^{\Sigma_1}(0,\varepsilon)} \langle P_p(\phi_{x_0}(Z), \phi_{x_0}(Z')) f_{1,x_0}(Z'), f_{2,x_0}(Z) \rangle_E
$$
  
 
$$
\times dv_{\Lambda_1}(Z') dv_{\Lambda_2}(Z) + O(p^{-\infty}). \tag{4.7}
$$

Let *du* and *dw* be the Lebesgue measures of  $\Sigma_1$  and  $\Sigma_2$ , respectively. For any *j* = 1, 2, define the functions  $h_j \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(B^{\Sigma_j}(0,\varepsilon),\mathbb{R})$  in the chart [\(2.17\)](#page-7-0) for any  $u \in B^{\Sigma_1}(0, \varepsilon)$  and  $w \in B^{\Sigma_2}(0, \varepsilon)$  by

$$
dv_{\Lambda_1}(u) = h_1(u) du
$$
 and  $dv_{\Lambda_2}(w) = h_2(w) dw$  (4.8)

with  $h_j(0) = (dv_{\Lambda_j}/dv_{\Lambda_j,\omega})(x_0)$  for  $j = 1, 2$ . Recalling ([2.18\)](#page-8-0) and the fact that  $|\lambda^p| = 1$  for all  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , we can use Corollary [2.4](#page-8-0) and Lemma [2.5](#page-9-0) to get  $\theta \in [0, 1]$ for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\delta \in [0, 1]$  such that, as  $p \to +\infty$ , equation (4.7) becomes

$$
\langle s_{1,p}, s_{2,p} \rangle_{p}
$$
\n
$$
= \lambda^{p} \int_{B^{\Sigma_{2}}(0,\varepsilon p^{-\theta/2})} \int_{B^{\Sigma_{1}}(0,\varepsilon p^{-\theta/2})} \langle P_{p}(\phi_{x_{0}}(Z), \phi_{x_{0}}(Z')) f_{1,x_{0}}(Z'), f_{2,x_{0}}(Z) \rangle_{E} dv_{\Lambda_{1}}(Z') dv_{\Lambda_{2}}(Z)
$$
\n
$$
+ O(p^{-\infty})
$$
\n
$$
= \lambda^{p} p^{n} \sum_{r=0}^{k} p^{-r/2} \int_{B^{\Sigma_{2}}(0,\varepsilon p^{-\theta/2})} \int_{B^{\Sigma_{1}}(0,\varepsilon p^{-\theta/2})} \langle J_{r,x_{0}} \mathscr{P}_{x_{0}}(\sqrt{p}Z, \sqrt{p}Z') f_{1,x_{0}}(Z'), f_{2,x_{0}}(Z) \rangle_{E}
$$
\n
$$
\times \kappa_{x_{0}}^{-1/2}(Z') \kappa_{x_{0}}^{-1/2}(Z) dv_{\Lambda_{1}}(Z') dv_{\Lambda_{2}}(Z)
$$

<span id="page-19-0"></span>
$$
+ p^{n} \int_{B^{\Sigma_{2}}(0,\varepsilon p^{-\theta/2})} \int_{B^{\Sigma_{2}}(0,\varepsilon p^{-\theta/2})} O(p^{-(k+1)/2+\delta}) dv_{\Lambda_{1}}(Z') dv_{\Lambda_{2}}(Z)
$$
  
+  $O(p^{-\infty})$   
=  $\lambda^{p} p^{n} \sum_{r=0}^{k} p^{-r/2} \int_{B^{\Sigma_{2}}(0,\varepsilon p^{-\theta/2})} \int_{B^{\Sigma_{1}}(0,\varepsilon p^{-\theta/2})} (J_{r,x_{0}} \mathscr{P}_{x_{0}}(\sqrt{p}w, \sqrt{p}u) f_{1}(u), f_{2}(w))_{E}$   
 $\times \kappa_{x_{0}}^{-1/2}(u) \kappa_{x_{0}}^{-1/2}(w) h_{1}(u) h_{2}(w) du dw$   
+  $p^{n} p^{-(d_{1}+d_{2})\theta/2} O(p^{-(k+1)/2+\delta}).$  (4.9)

Consider now the Taylor expansion up to order  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  of  $g_j = h_j \kappa_{x_0}^{-1/2} f_{j,x_0}$  for  $j = 1, 2$  as in [\(3.18\)](#page-14-0). By Lemma [2.5](#page-9-0) and formula [\(2.21\)](#page-8-0), following the proof of Theorem [3.6](#page-13-0), we get  $\delta' > 0$  and a sequence  $\{G_r\}_{r \in \mathbb{N}}$  of polynomials in two variables of  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  with values in  $\mathbb C$  of the same parity as *r* with

$$
G_0 \equiv \langle f_1(x_0), f_2(x_0) \rangle_E \frac{dv_{\Lambda_1}}{dv_{\Lambda_1, \omega}} \frac{dv_{\Lambda_2}}{dv_{\Lambda_2, \omega}} (x_0) \det(\dot{R}_{x_0}^L / 2\pi) \tag{4.10}
$$

such that, as  $p \rightarrow +\infty$ , equation (4.9) becomes

$$
\langle s_{1,p}, s_{2,p} \rangle_p = \lambda^p p^{n - (d_1 + d_2)/2} \sum_{r=1}^k p^{-r/2} \int_{B^{\Sigma_2}(0, \varepsilon p^{(1-\theta)/2})} \int_{B^{\Sigma_1}(0, \varepsilon p^{(1-\theta)/2})} \int_{B^{\Sigma_1}(0, \varepsilon p^{(1-\theta)/2})} \int_{B^{\Sigma_2}(0, \varepsilon p^{(1-\theta)/2})} \int_{B^{\Sigma_1}(0, \varepsilon p^{(1-\theta)/2})} \int_{B^{\Sigma_
$$

As  $\Sigma_1 \cap \Sigma_2 = \{0\}$ , from [\(2.20\)](#page-8-0) we get that

$$
|\mathscr{P}_{x_0}(w,u)| \le \exp(C(|u|+|w|))\tag{4.12}
$$

for some  $C > 0$  and all  $w \in \Sigma_1$  and  $u \in \Sigma_2$ . In particular, as  $1 - \theta > 0$ , its integral in  $u \in \Sigma_1 \backslash B^{\Sigma_1}(0, \varepsilon p^{(1-\theta)/2})$  and  $w \in \Sigma_2 \backslash B^{\Sigma_2}(0, \varepsilon p^{(1-\theta)/2})$  decreases exponentially and uniformly as  $p \rightarrow +\infty$ . Equation (4.11) then becomes

$$
\langle s_{1,p}, s_{2,p} \rangle_p
$$
  
=  $\lambda^p \sum_{r=1}^k p^{-r/2} \int_{\Sigma_2} \int_{\Sigma_1} G_r \mathscr{P}_{x_0}(w, u) du dw + O(p^{-(k+1)/2+\delta'}).$  (4.13)

Let us now evaluate the integrals in  $(4.13)$ . Up to linear symplectic transformation, the canonical symplectic basis  $\{e_j, f_j\}_{j=1}^n$  of  $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \Omega)$  can be chosen such that  $\Sigma_1 = \langle e_1, \ldots, e_{d_1} \rangle$  as an oriented isotropic subspace. Let  $v_1, \ldots, v_{d_2} \in \Sigma_2$  form an oriented orthonormal basis of  $\Sigma_2$  for the metric induced by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . Consider the matrices *A* and *B* given by

$$
A = (a_i^j)_{1 \le i \le n, 1 \le j \le d_2} \quad \text{with } a_i^j = \Omega(e_i, v_j),
$$
  
\n
$$
B = (b_i^j)_{1 \le i \le n, 1 \le j \le d_2} \quad \text{with } b_i^j = \langle e_i, v_j \rangle.
$$
\n(4.14)

<span id="page-20-0"></span>As  $\Omega(e_i, v_j) = \langle f_i, v_j \rangle$  for all  $1 \le i \le n, 1 \le j \le d_2$ , we know that for any  $1 \leq j \leq d_2$ ,

$$
\nu_j = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i^j e_i + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^j f_i.
$$
 (4.15)

Let us write  $dt := dt_1 \dots dt_{d_2}$  for the Lebesgue measure of  $\mathbb{R}^{d_2}$ , and let  $\varphi$  be any measurable function with compact support on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . Setting  $w = t_i v_i$  for any  $w \in \Sigma_2$ , integration of  $\varphi$  along  $\Sigma_2$  for its Lebesgue measure *dw* becomes

$$
\int_{\Sigma_2} \varphi(w) \, dw = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_2}} \varphi\bigg(\sum_{j=1}^{d_2} t_j v_j\bigg) \, dt. \tag{4.16}
$$

Let us use the convention of Section [2.1,](#page-5-0) summing  $i$  from 1 to  $d_1$  and  $k, j$  from 1 to  $d_2$  whenever they appear as free indices. From the explicit expression  $(2.20)$ , taking Fourier transform and performing a change of variables, we compute

$$
\int_{\Sigma_{2}} \int_{\Sigma_{1}} G_{r}(w, u) \mathcal{P}_{x_{0}}(w, u) du dw
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_{1}}} G_{r}(t_{j}v_{j}, u_{i}e_{i}) \mathcal{P}_{x_{0}}(t_{j}v_{j}, u_{i}e_{i}) du dt
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_{1}}} G_{r}(t_{j}v_{j}, u_{i}e_{i}) \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{i=d_{1}+1}^{n} ((t_{j}b_{i}^{j})^{2} + (t_{j}a_{i}^{j})^{2})\right)
$$
\n
$$
\times \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{d_{1}} ((u_{i} - t_{j}b_{i}^{j})^{2} + (t_{j}a_{i}^{j})^{2} + 2\sqrt{-1}u_{i}t_{j}a_{i}^{j})\right) du dt
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_{1}}} G_{r}(t_{j}v_{j}, (u_{i} + t_{j}b_{i}^{j})e_{i}) \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{i=d_{1}+1}^{n} ((t_{j}b_{i}^{j})^{2} + (t_{j}a_{i}^{j})^{2})\right)
$$
\n
$$
\times \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{d_{1}} (u_{i}^{2} + (t_{j}a_{i}^{j})^{2} + 2\sqrt{-1}u_{i}t_{j}a_{i}^{j} + 2\sqrt{-1}t_{k}b_{i}^{k}a_{i}^{j}t_{j}\right)\right) du dt
$$
\n
$$
= 2^{d_{2}/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_{2}}} \widetilde{G}_{r}(t) \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{i=d_{1}+1}^{n} ((t_{j}b_{i}^{j})^{2} + (t_{j}a_{i}^{j})^{2})\right)
$$
\n
$$
\times \exp\left(-\pi \sum_{i=1}^{d_{1}} ((t_{j}a_{i}^{j})^{2} + \sqrt{-1}t_{k}b_{i}^{k
$$

where  $\widetilde{G}_r(t)$  are polynomials in  $t \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1}$  of the same parity as *r*. Using the fact that  $\Sigma_1 \cap \Sigma_2 = \{0\}$ , we get the convergence of the integral in (4.17), and as the integrand is of the same parity as *r*, the integral vanishes if *r* is odd. Together with ([4.13](#page-19-0)), this proves ([4.3](#page-17-0)).

<span id="page-21-0"></span>Let us now compute the first coefficient of  $(4.13)$  in the case dim  $\Lambda_1 = n$ . From ([4.17](#page-20-0)) we get

$$
\int_{\Sigma_2} \int_{\Sigma_1} \mathcal{P}_{x_0}(u, w) du dw
$$
\n
$$
= 2^{n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_2}} \exp\left(-\pi \sum_{i=1}^n ((t_j a_i^j)^2 + \sqrt{-1} t_k b_i^k a_i^j t_j) \right) dt.
$$
\n(4.18)

As  $\langle v_1, \ldots, v_{d_2} \rangle$  is the basis of an isotropic submanifold, we get  $\omega(v_j, v_k) = 0$  for all  $1 \le j, k \le d_2$ , which is equivalent by ([4.15\)](#page-20-0) to the fact that  $B^T A$  is symmetric. Summing *i* from 1 to *n*, the matrix  $(a_i^k a_i^j + \sqrt{-1}b_i^k a_i^j)_{k,j=1}^{d_2} = A^T A + \sqrt{-1}B^T A$ is symmetric, and its real part  $A<sup>T</sup>A$  is strictly positive as *A* has maximal rank. Thus from  $(4.18)$ , using  $(2.27)$ , we get

$$
\int_{\Sigma_2} \int_{\Sigma_1} \mathcal{P}_{x_0}(u, w) \, du \, dw = 2^{n/2} \det^{-1/2} \left( \sqrt{-1} \left( B - \sqrt{-1} A \right)^T A \right). \tag{4.19}
$$

Then formula  $(4.4)$  $(4.4)$  $(4.4)$  for the first coefficient follows from  $(2.4)$  $(2.4)$ ,  $(2.5)$  $(2.5)$  $(2.5)$ ,  $(4.10)$  $(4.10)$  $(4.10)$ ,  $(4.18)$ and  $(4.14)$  $(4.14)$  $(4.14)$ .

## *4.2. Asymptotic Expansion of Clean Intersections*

In this section, we deal with the case of general clean intersection in the sense of Definition  $4.1$ . The main difference with Theorem  $4.3$  is that the coefficients of the expansion are now given as integrals over the fixed point set. The main additional difficulty is to show that we can in fact split the integral between an integral over the fixed point set and an integral over transversal slices and then integrate the expansion of Lemma [2.5](#page-9-0) over the transversal slices following the proof of Theorem [4.3](#page-17-0).

As in Section [4.1](#page-16-0), the immersions  $\iota_i : \Lambda_i \to X$  and  $j_i : \Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2 \to \Lambda_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , are implicit in the statement of the following theorem, and we omit to mention them for simplicity.

**THEOREM 4.4.** Suppose that  $(\Lambda_1, \iota_1, \zeta_1)$  and  $(\Lambda_2, \iota_2, \zeta_2)$  intersect cleanly. Let  $\Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2 = \bigcup_{q=1}^m Y_m$  *be the decomposition into connected components of their intersection in the sense above, and set*  $l_q = \dim Y_q$ . *Then for any*  $F \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(X, \text{End}(E))$ , *there exist*  $b_{q,r} \in \mathbb{C}, r \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq q \leq m$ , *such that for any*  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  *and as*  $p \to +\infty$ ,

$$
\langle T_{F,p} s_{f_1,p}, s_{f_2,p} \rangle_p
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{q=1}^m p^{n - (d_1 + d_2)/2 + l_q/2} \lambda_q^p \sum_{r=0}^k p^{-r} b_{q,r}
$$
  
+ 
$$
O(p^{n - (d_1 + d_2)/2 + l_q/2 - (k+1)}),
$$
 (4.20)

<span id="page-22-0"></span>*where*  $\lambda_q \in \mathbb{C}$  *is the value of the constant function on*  $Y_q$  *defined for any*  $x \in Y_q$  $by \lambda_q(x) = \langle \zeta_1(x), \zeta_2(x) \rangle_L$ . *If* dim  $\Lambda_1 = n$ , then we have

$$
b_{q,0} = 2^{n/2} \int_{Y_q} \langle F f_1(x), f_2(x) \rangle_E \det^{1/2}(\dot{R}^L / 2\pi) \frac{dv_{\Lambda_2}}{dv_{\Lambda_2,\omega}}(x)
$$
  
 
$$
\times \det^{-1/2} \left\{ \sqrt{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-l_q} h_{\omega}^{TX}(e_k, v_i) \omega(e_k, v_j) \right\}_{i,j=1}^{d_2-l_q} (x) |dv|_{Y_q,\omega}(x), (4.21)
$$

where  $\braket{e_i}_{i=1}^{n-l_q}, \braket{\nu_j}_{j=1}^{d_2-l_q}$  are local orthonormal frames of the normal bundle of  $Y_q$ *inside*  $\Lambda_1$ ,  $\Lambda_2$  *with respect to*  $g_{\omega}^{T\Lambda_1}$ ,  $g_{\omega}^{T\Lambda_2}$ *, and*  $|dv|_{Y_q,\omega}$  *is the Riemannian density of*  $(Y_q, g_\omega^{TY_q})$ . The square root of the determinant is determined by ([2.28](#page-10-0)).

*Proof.* Let us set  $F = Id_E$ , the proof of the general case being totally analogous by Lemma  $2.6$  and  $(3.6)$  $(3.6)$ . Using Proposition  $3.5$ ,  $(3.4)$ , and  $(4.20)$  $(4.20)$ , we can assume that  $\Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2$  has a unique connected component *Y* and that  $f_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , have compact supports in a given open set. Then the following computations are local on *Y*, and we may assume that *Y* is oriented and embedded in  $\Lambda_2$  by  $j_2 : Y \to \Lambda_2$ . We further omit the mention of  $j_2$ . We set  $l = \dim Y$ .

Let *N* be the *normal bundle* of *Y* inside  $\Lambda_2$ , identified with the orthogonal complement of *TY* in  $(T \Lambda_2, g_\omega^{T \Lambda_2})$ , and let  $g_\omega^N$  be the induced metric on *N*. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be such that the exponential map  $\exp_{\omega}^{\Lambda_2}$  of  $(\Lambda_2, g_{\omega}^{T\Lambda_2})$  restricted to  $B^N(0, \varepsilon) := \{ w \in N | |w|_{\rho^N} < \varepsilon \}$  is a diffeomorphism on its image. With *Y* embedded in *N* as its zero section, the differential  $d \exp_{\omega, x}^{\Lambda_2} : T_x Y \oplus N_x \to T_x \Lambda_2$  is the identity map for any  $x \in Y$ , and  $exp_{\omega}^{\Lambda_2}(B^N(0, \varepsilon))$  is a tubular neighborhood of *Y* in  $\Lambda_2$ .

Let *dw* be an Euclidean volume form on the fibers of  $(N, g_{\omega}^N)$  such that the volume form  $dwdv_{Y,\omega}$  on the total space of N is compatible with the orientation of *X*. Let  $h_2 \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(B^N(0,\varepsilon),\mathbb{R})$  be defined for any  $x \in Y$  and  $w \in N_x$  with  $|w|_{g_{\omega,x}^N} < \varepsilon$  via the exponential map by

$$
dv_{\Lambda_2}(x, w) = h_2(x, w) \, dw \, dv_{Y, \omega}(x). \tag{4.22}
$$

Then  $h_2(x, 0) = (dv_{\Lambda_2}/dv_{\Lambda_2, \omega})(x)$ . Let  $I(f_1, f_2) \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(B^N(0, \varepsilon), \mathbb{C})$  at  $x \in Y$ and  $w \in N_x$  with  $|w|_{g_{\omega,x}^N} < \varepsilon$  be defined by the formula

$$
I(f_1, f_2)(x, w) = \int_{\Lambda_1} \langle P_p((x, w), \iota_1(y)) \iota_{1, p} \cdot \zeta_1^p f_1(y), \zeta_2^p f_2(x_0, w) \rangle_{E_p}
$$
  
×  $h_2(x_0, w) dv_{\Lambda_1}(y)$ . (4.23)

Using  $(3.2)$ ,  $(3.4)$ ,  $(3.5)$ , and Proposition [3.5,](#page-12-0) from  $(4.22)$  and  $(4.23)$  we get

$$
\langle s_{1,p}, s_{2,p} \rangle_p = \int_{\Lambda_2} \int_{\Lambda_1} \langle P_p(\iota_2(x), \iota_1(y)) \iota_{1,p} \cdot \zeta_1^p f_1(y), \iota_{2,p} \cdot \zeta_2^p f_2(x) \rangle_{E_p}
$$
  
 
$$
\times dv_{\Lambda_1}(y) dv_{\Lambda_2}(x)
$$

<span id="page-23-0"></span>
$$
= \int_{\exp_{\omega}^{\Lambda_2}(B^N(0,\varepsilon))} \int_{\Lambda_1} \langle P_p(x, \iota_1(y))\iota_{1,p}.\zeta_1^p f_1(y), \zeta_2^p f_2(x) \rangle_{E_p}
$$
  
×  $dv_{\Lambda_1}(y) dv_{\Lambda_2}(x) + O(p^{-\infty})$   
= 
$$
\int_{x \in Y} \int_{B^{N_x}(0,\varepsilon)} I(f_1, f_2)(x, w) dw dv_{Y,\omega}(x) + O(p^{-\infty}).
$$
 (4.24)

Fix now  $x_0 \in Y$ . Take  $\varepsilon > 0, U \subset \Lambda_1$ , and let  $\phi_{x_0}^{\Lambda_1} : B^{\mathbb{R}^{d_1}}(0, \varepsilon) \to U$  be a diffeomorphism sending  $\overline{0}$  to  $x_0$  and such that its differential at  $\overline{0}$  identifies  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  with  $g_{\omega}^{T\Lambda_1}$ . As  $\exp_{\omega}^{\Lambda_2}(B^{N_{x_0}}(0, \varepsilon))$  and  $\Lambda_1$  intersect cleanly at  $x_0$  only, following the proof of Theorem [4.3,](#page-17-0) for  $\varepsilon > 0$  small enough, we can extend the union map  $\exp_{\omega}^{\Lambda_2} \cup \phi_{x_0}^{\Lambda_1} : B^{N_{x_0}}(0, \varepsilon) \cup B^{\mathbb{R}^n}(0, \varepsilon) \to X$  to a diffeomorphism  $\phi_{x_0}: B^{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}(0,\varepsilon) \to V$  as in ([2.17](#page-7-0)), identifying *U* with  $B^{\Sigma}(0,\varepsilon)$ , where  $\Sigma$  is an isotropic subspace of  $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \Omega)$ , and where the fiber  $(N_{x_0}, g_{\omega, x_0}^N)$  is seen as an Euclidean subspace of  $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ .

Let us identify *E* and *L* over  $B^{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}(0, \varepsilon)$  with  $E_{x_0}$  and  $L_{x_0}$  as in Section [2.2](#page-7-0) and use  $\zeta_1(x_0)$  to identify  $L_{x_0}$  with C. Then  $\zeta_1, \zeta_2$  are identified with  $1, \overline{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}$  over  $B^{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}(0, \varepsilon)$ , where  $\lambda = \langle \zeta_1(x_0), \zeta_2(x_0) \rangle_L$ . Let *du* be the Lebesgue measure of  $\Sigma$ , and let  $h_1 \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(B^{\Sigma}(0,\varepsilon),\mathbb{R})$  be such that for  $u \in B^{\Sigma}(0,\varepsilon)$ ,

$$
dv_{\Lambda_1}(u) = h_1(u) du \quad \text{with } h_2(0) = (dv_{\Lambda_1}/dv_{\Lambda_1,\omega})(x_0). \tag{4.25}
$$

By Corollary [2.4](#page-8-0) and Lemma [2.5,](#page-9-0) for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\delta \in [0, 1]$ , we get  $\theta \in [0, 1]$ such that, as  $p \rightarrow +\infty$ ,

$$
\int_{B^{N_{x_0}}(0,\varepsilon)} I(f_1, f_2)(x_0, w) dw
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{B^{N_{x_0}}(0,\varepsilon)} \int_{B^{\Sigma}(0,\varepsilon)} \langle P_p(w, u)\xi_1^p f_1(u), \xi_2^p f_2(w) \rangle_{E_p} h_2(x_0, w) dv_{\Lambda_1}(u) dw
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{B^{N_{x_0}}(0,\varepsilon_p - \theta/2)} \int_{B^{\Sigma}(0,\varepsilon_p - \theta/2)} \langle P_p(w, u)\xi_1^p f_1(u), \xi_2^p f_2(w) \rangle_{E_p}
$$
\n
$$
\times h_2(x_0, w) h_1(u) du dw + O(p^{-\infty})
$$
\n
$$
= \lambda^p p^n \sum_{r=0}^k p^{-r/2} \int_{B^{N_{x_0}}(0,\varepsilon_p - \theta/2)} \int_{B^{\Sigma}(0,\varepsilon_p - \theta/2)} \times \langle J_{r,x_0} \mathcal{P}_{x_0}(\sqrt{p}w, \sqrt{p}u) f_{1,x_0}(u), f_{2,x_0}(w) \rangle_E
$$
\n
$$
\times \kappa_{x_0}^{-1/2} (w) \kappa_{x_0}^{-1/2} (u) h_2(x_0, w) h_1(u) du dw
$$
\n
$$
+ p^{n - (d_1 + d_2)/2 + l/2} O(p^{-(k+1)/2 + \delta}). \qquad (4.26)
$$

Consider now the Taylor expansions up to order  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  of  $h_j \kappa_{x_0}^{-1/2} f_{j,x_0}$  for  $j = 1, 2$  as in ([3.18\)](#page-14-0). As in the proof of Theorem [4.3](#page-17-0), we get  $\delta' > 0$  and a sequence  ${F_{x_0,r}}_{r \in \mathbb{N}}$  of polynomials in two variables of  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  with values in  $\mathbb C$  of the <span id="page-24-0"></span>same parity as *r* and with

$$
F_{x_0,0}(Z,Z') = \langle f_1(x_0), f_2(x_0) \rangle_E \frac{dv_{\Lambda_1}}{dv_{\Lambda_1,\omega}} \frac{dv_{\Lambda_2}}{dv_{\Lambda_2,\omega}}(x_0) \det(\dot{R}_{x_0}^L/2\pi) \tag{4.27}
$$

such that, as  $p \rightarrow +\infty$ , equation ([4.11](#page-19-0)) becomes

$$
\int_{B^{N_{x_0}}(0,\varepsilon)} I(f_1, f_2)(x_0, w) dw
$$
\n
$$
= p^{n - (d_1 + d_2)/2 + l/2} \lambda^p \sum_{r=0}^k p^{-r/2} \int_{N_{x_0}} \int_{\Sigma} F_{x_0, r}(w, u) \mathcal{P}_{x_0}(w, u) du dw
$$
\n
$$
+ p^{n - (d_1 + d_2)/2 + l/2} O(p^{-k + 1/2 + \delta'}).
$$
\n(4.28)

Thus writing

$$
b_r(x_0) = \int_{N_{x_0}} \int_{\Sigma} F_{x_0,r}(w, u) \mathcal{P}_{x_0}(w, u) du dw \qquad (4.29)
$$

and recalling that the estimates are uniform in  $x_0 \in Y$ , from ([4.23\)](#page-22-0), [\(4.24\)](#page-23-0), and  $(4.28)$  we get

$$
\langle s_{1,p}, s_{2,p} \rangle_p = p^{n - (d_1 + d_2)/2 + l/2} \lambda^p \sum_{r=0}^k p^{-r/2} \int_Y b_r(x) \, dv_Y(x) + p^{n - (d_1 + d_2)/2 + l/2} O(p^{-(k+1)/2}).
$$
\n(4.30)

Now we can use  $(4.17)$  to compute  $(4.29)$  in general, and the argument of parity holds in the same way, so that the coefficients  $b_r$  defined in (4.29) for  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  vanish identically for odd  $r$ . By  $(4.30)$  this gives  $(4.20)$  $(4.20)$ .

Assume now that dim  $\Lambda_1 = n$ , and let us compute

$$
b_0(x_0) = \frac{dv_{\Lambda_1}}{dv_{\Lambda_1,\omega}} \frac{dv_{\Lambda_2}}{dv_{\Lambda_2,\omega}}(x_0) \det(\dot{R}_{x_0}^L/2\pi) \langle f_1(x_0), f_2(x_0) \rangle_E
$$
  
 
$$
\times \int_{N_{x_0}} \int_{\Sigma} \mathcal{P}_{x_0}(w, u) du dw. \tag{4.31}
$$

In the same way as in the proof of Theorem  $4.3$ , we can take the canonical symplectic basis  $\{e_j, f_j\}_{j=1}^n$  of  $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \Omega)$  such that  $\Sigma = \mathbb{R}^n \times \{0\}$  and such that  $\langle e_{n-l+1}, \ldots, e_n \rangle$  is an oriented orthonormal basis of  $(T_{x_0}Y, g_{\omega}^{TY})$  in the identification of  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  with  $T_{x_0}X$  via  $d\phi_{x_0}$ . Let  $v_1, \ldots, v_{d_2-l} \in N_{x_0}$  be such that

$$
\langle v_1,\ldots,v_{d_2-l},e_{n-l+1},\ldots,e_n\rangle
$$

is an oriented orthonormal basis of the isotropic subspace  $\Sigma_2 := N_{x_0} \oplus T_{x_0} Y$ . Then for  $1 \le i \le d_2 - l$ ,  $n - l + 1 \le j \le n$ , we have  $\langle v_i, f_j \rangle = -\omega(v_i, e_j) = 0$ . Thus setting

$$
A = (a_i^j)_{1 \le i \le n-l, 1 \le j \le d_2-l} \quad \text{with } a_i^j = \omega(e_i, v_j),
$$
  
\n
$$
B = (b_i^j)_{1 \le i \le n-l, 1 \le j \le d_2-l} \quad \text{with } b_i^j = \langle e_i, v_j \rangle,
$$
\n(4.32)

<span id="page-25-0"></span>we get for all  $1 \leq j \leq d_2 - l$ ,

$$
\nu_j = \sum_{i=1}^{n-l} b_i^j e_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n-l} a_i^j f_i.
$$
 (4.33)

Write  $dt := dt_1 \dots dt_{d_2 - l}$  for the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^{d_2 - l}$ . Using the summa-tion convention of Section [2.1](#page-5-0) with *i* from 1 to  $n - l$  and *j*, *k* from 1 to  $d_2 - l$ whenever they appear as free indices, we get

$$
\int_{N_{x_0}} \int_{\Sigma} \mathcal{P}_{x_0}(w, u) du dw
$$
\n=
$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_2-l}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{P}_{x_0}(t_j v_j, u_i e_i) du dt
$$
\n=
$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_2-l}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{i=n-l+1}^n u_i^2\right)
$$
\n
$$
\times \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n-l} \left((u_i - t_j b_i^j)^2 + (t_j a_i^j)^2 + 2\sqrt{-1} u_i t_j a_i^j\right)\right) du dt
$$
\n=
$$
2^{l/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_2-l}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-l}} \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n-l} u_i^2 + (t_j a_i^j)^2
$$
\n
$$
\times +2\sqrt{-1} u_i t_j a_i^j + 2\sqrt{-1} t_k b_i^k a_i^j t_j\right) du_1 \dots du_{n-l} dt
$$
\n=
$$
2^{n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_2-l}} \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n-l} \left(2(t_j a_i^j)^2 + 2\sqrt{-1} t_k b_i^k a_i^j t_j\right)\right) dt.
$$
\n(4.34)

As  $\omega(v_i, v_k) = 0$  for all  $1 \leq j, k \leq d_2 - l$ , we know from (4.33) that the matrix  $B<sup>T</sup>A$  is symmetric. Then as in [\(4.19\)](#page-21-0), we get

$$
\int_{N_{x_0}} \int_{\Sigma} \mathcal{P}_{x_0}(w, u) du dw = 2^{n/2} \det^{-1/2} \left( \sqrt{-1} A \left( B - \sqrt{-1} A \right) \right). \tag{4.35}
$$

Using the explicit definition of *A* and *B* above, from  $(4.35)$ ,  $(2.4)$  $(2.4)$  $(2.4)$ , and  $(2.5)$  $(2.5)$  $(2.5)$  we get  $(4.21)$  $(4.21)$  $(4.21)$ .

REMARK 4.5. Suppose that the first Chern class  $c_1(TX)$  of  $(TX, J)$  is even in  $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ . Then there exists a complex line bundle  $K_X^{1/2}$  over *X* such that its second tensor power is equal to the canonical line bundle  $K_X$  of  $X$ . The choice of  $K_X^{1/2}$  does not depend on *J* compatible with  $\omega$  and is called a *metaplectic structure* on  $(X, \omega)$ . Note that such a choice is not unique in general. Now if  $\iota : \Lambda \to X$  is an immersed Lagrangian submanifold, then  $\iota^* K_X$  is canonically isomorphic to  $\det(T^*\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}})$  over  $\Lambda$ , and we call  $\iota^*K_X^{1/2}$  the *half-form bundle* of  $\Lambda$ . We endow  $K_X^{1/2}$  with the Hermitian structure induced by  $h_{\omega}^{K_X}$  as in Section [2.1](#page-5-0).

Consider now the setting of Theorem [4.4](#page-21-0) with dim  $\Lambda_1 = \dim \Lambda_2 = n$  and  $g^{TX} = g_{\omega}^{TX}$ . Via the isomorphism above, we define the *angle* of  $\iota_j : \Lambda_j \to X$  <span id="page-26-0"></span>for  $j = 1, 2$ , as a function on any connected component *Y* of their intersection by the formula

$$
\det\{\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2\} = h_{\omega}^{K_X} (dv_{\Lambda_1}, dv_{\Lambda_2})^{-1} = \det\{h_{\omega}^{TX}(e_i, v_j)\}_{i,j=1}^{n-l}.
$$

On the other hand, following [\[8](#page-41-0), Lemma 3.1], we can construct a sesquilinear pairing  $\# : \iota_1^* K_X^{1/2}|_Y \times \iota_2^* K_X^{1/2}|_Y \to \det(T^*Y_{\mathbb{C}})$  over *Y*, depending only on the metaplectic structure of  $(X, \omega)$ , which at any  $x \in Y$  takes two square roots  $d\nu_{\Lambda_j, x}^{1/2}$ of  $dv_{\Lambda}$ <sub>*i*</sub>,*x* for  $j = 1, 2$  to

$$
dv_{\Lambda_{1},x}^{1/2} \# dv_{\Lambda_{2},x}^{1/2} = \det^{-1/2} {\{\omega(e_i, v_j)\}}_{i,j=1}^{n-l} dv_{Y,x}
$$
(4.36)

for an Euclidean volume form  $dv_{Y,x}$  of  $(T_xY, g_x^{TY})$  and some coherent choice of square root induced by  $dv_{\Lambda_{1},x}^{1/2}$ ,  $dv_{\Lambda_{2},x}^{1/2}$ , and  $dv_{Y,x}$ . Then taking  $E = K_X^{1/2}$ , Theo-rem [4.4](#page-21-0) gives the following formula for  $b_0$  on *Y* as in ([4.21](#page-22-0)):

$$
b_0 = 2^{(n-l)/2} e^{-\sqrt{-1}\pi (n-l)/2} \int_Y \det\{\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2\}^{-1} f_1 \# f_2.
$$
 (4.37)

In the particular case of Kähler  $(X, J, \omega)$ , this formula can be compared with that appearing in [\[8](#page-41-0), Prop. 3.16]. In particular, they get det $\{\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2\}^{-1/2}$  instead of det{ $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2$ }<sup>-1</sup> as in (4.37). This discrepancy is due to the fact that even though they use half-forms, their Lagrangian states do not take values in  $L^p \otimes K_X^{1/2}$ , but in  $L^p$ . Note that without metaplectic structure on  $(X, \omega)$ , only the product of the square root of  $(4.36)$  with  $(4.36)$  makes sense in general (see [[31\]](#page-42-0) for related results).

Finally, note that the assumption dim  $\Lambda_1 = n$  for formula ([4.21](#page-22-0)) of the first co-efficient of the expansion was used in the proof of Theorem [4.4](#page-21-0) to compute the elegant formula  $(4.35)$ . Without this assumption, we still get an integral of the form [\(4.34\)](#page-25-0) by following the method of the proof, and the classical formulas of Section [2.3](#page-9-0) for the Gaussian integral can be used to compute it explicitly. We also get a formula in terms of the symplectic form, the Riemannian metric, and local frames via definition  $(4.32)$  $(4.32)$  of the coefficients appearing inside the Gaussian function.

## **5. Extensions to Noncompact Manifolds and Orbifolds**

In this section, we show how we can adapt the results of the previous sections in the case of noncompact manifolds and orbifolds. We will work for simplicity in the case of Kähler  $(X, J, \omega)$  and  $g^{TX} = g_{\omega}^{TX}$ . Then as underlined in [Introduction,](#page-0-0) the renormalized Bochner Laplacian  $(2.8)$  $(2.8)$  reduces to the Kodaira Laplacian on sections.

Note further that the existence of an expansion of the form  $(2.25)$  $(2.25)$  is a straightforward consequence of the existence of an expansion as in  $[27, (4.9)]$  $[27, (4.9)]$  $[27, (4.9)]$ .

### *5.1. Noncompact Case*

<span id="page-27-0"></span>Let  $(X, J, \omega, g^{TX})$  be a complete Kähler manifold with  $\omega(\cdot, \cdot) = g^{TX}(J \cdot, \cdot)$ , let  $(L, h^L)$  be a holomorphic line Hermitian bundle over *X* with Chern connection  $\nabla^L$  satisfying [\(1.1\)](#page-0-0), and let  $(E, h^E)$  be an auxiliary holomorphic Hermitian bundle with Chern connection  $\nabla^E$ . For any  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , let  $H^0_{(2)}(X, E_p)$  denote the space of holomorphic sections of  $E_p = L^p \otimes E$  that are square integrable with respect to the  $L^2$ -Hermitian product defined as in [\(2.9](#page-6-0)). Let  $P_p$  denote the orthogonal projection from the space of  $L^2$ -sections of  $E_p$  onto  $H^0_{(2)}(X, E_p)$  with respect to this product. Then as noticed in  $[25, Rem.1.4.3]$  $[25, Rem.1.4.3]$ ,  $P_p$  has a smooth Schwartz kernel  $P_p(\cdot, \cdot) \in \mathscr{C}^\infty(X \times X, E_p \boxtimes E_p^*)$  with respect to the Riemannian volume form  $dv_X$  of  $(X, g^{TX})$ , and  $P_p(\cdot, \cdot)$  is square integrable and holomorphic with respect to its first variable.

Let us write  $R^{\text{det}}$  for the curvature of the Chern connection of  $K_X^*$ . Then we have the following result.

THEOREM 5.1 ( $[27, Thm. 5.2, 5.3]$  $[27, Thm. 5.2, 5.3]$  $[27, Thm. 5.2, 5.3]$ ). *Suppose that there exists*  $C > 0$  *such that for all*  $x \in X$  *and*  $v \in T_x X$ , the following inequality holds in the sense of endomor*phisms of E*:

$$
\sqrt{-1}(R^{\det} \mathrm{Id}_E + R^E)(v, Jv) > -C\omega(v, Jv) \mathrm{Id}_E. \tag{5.1}
$$

*Then for any compact set*  $K \subset X$ , *Proposition* [2.3](#page-7-0) *holds uniformly for any*  $x, x' \in K$ , and Lemma [2.5](#page-9-0) holds uniformly for any  $x_0 \in X$ .

*If*  $F \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(X, \text{End}(E))$  *has a compact support, then Lemma [2.6](#page-9-0) holds uniformly for any*  $x_0 \in X$ .

From now on we suppose that  $(5.1)$  is verified for *X*. Then Definition [3.1](#page-10-0) still makes sense in this context, provided that  $\Lambda$  is compact. Precisely, for a Bohr– Sommerfeld manifold  $(\Lambda, \iota, \zeta)$  as in Definition [3.1](#page-10-0) with compact  $\Lambda$  and for  $f \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\Lambda, \iota^*E)$ , we define the associated isotropic state  $\{s_{f,p}\}_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$  in the same way as in ([3.2](#page-11-0)) for any  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$  and  $x \in X$  by the formula

$$
s_{f,p}(x) = \int_{\Lambda} P_p(x, \iota(y)) \iota_p \cdot \zeta^p f(y) \, dv_{\Lambda}(y). \tag{5.2}
$$

Then as  $\Lambda$  is compact, we get that  $s_{f,p} \in H^0_{(2)}(X, E_p)$ . Furthermore, we have the following analogue of Proposition [3.4](#page-11-0).

LEMMA 5.2. *Suppose that*  $(X, J, \omega, g^{TX})$  *is a complete Kähler manifold satisfying* (5.1), *and let*  $(\Lambda, \iota, \zeta)$  *be a compact Bohr–Sommerfeld submanifold of* X. *Then for any*  $s \in H^0_{(2)}(X, E_p)$ , we have the following reproducing property:

$$
\langle s, s_{f,p} \rangle_p = \int_{\Lambda} \langle s(\iota(x)), \iota_p. \zeta^p f(x) \rangle_{E_p} dv_{\Lambda}(y). \tag{5.3}
$$

*Furthermore, for any*  $F \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(X, \text{End}(E))$  *with compact support, property* ([3.6](#page-12-0)) *holds*.

<span id="page-28-0"></span>*Proof.* As  $\Lambda$  is compact, we can repeat the computations of [\(3.7\)](#page-12-0), so that ([5.3](#page-27-0)) holds. As  $F \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(X, \text{End}(E))$  has a compact support, we can repeat in the same way the computations of ([3.8\)](#page-12-0), and [\(3.6\)](#page-12-0) also holds in this context.

With these preliminaries, we can state the following generalization of the results of Section [3.2](#page-12-0), Section [4.1](#page-16-0), and Section [4.2.](#page-21-0)

THEOREM 5.3. Suppose that  $(X, J, \omega, g^{TX})$  is a complete Kähler manifold sat*isfying* ([5.1](#page-27-0)). *If*  $(\Lambda, \iota, \zeta)$  *is a compact Bohr–Sommerfeld submanifold of*  $(X, \omega)$ , *then Theorem [3.6](#page-13-0) holds*.

*Furthermore, if*  $(\Lambda_i, \iota_i, \zeta_i)$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , *are two compact Bohr–Sommerfeld submanifolds of (X,ω) intersecting cleanly*, *then Theorem [4.4](#page-21-0) holds*.

*Proof.* Let  $(\Lambda_i, \iota_i, \zeta_i)$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , be two compact Bohr–Sommerfeld submanifolds of *X*, and consider  $f_j \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(X, t_j^*E)$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ . By Theorem [5.1](#page-27-0) we know that Proposition [3.5](#page-12-0) is still true uniformly in any compact set  $K \subset X$ . Further-more, using [\(5.2\)](#page-27-0) and ([5.3](#page-27-0)) and omitting the immersions, for any  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , we get

$$
\langle s_{f_1, p}, s_{f_2, p} \rangle_p
$$
  
=  $\int_{\Lambda_2} \langle s_{f_1, p}(x), \zeta_2^p f_2(x) \rangle_{E_p} dv_{\Lambda_2}(x)$   
=  $\int_{\Lambda_2} \int_{\Lambda_1} \langle P_p(x, y) \zeta_1^p f_1(y), \zeta_2^p f_2(x) \rangle_{E_p} dv_{\Lambda_1}(y) dv_{\Lambda_2}(x).$  (5.4)

Then we can choose a compact set *K* in Theorem [5.1](#page-27-0) containing  $\iota(\Lambda_1) \cup \iota(\Lambda_2)$ , and the proof of Theorem 5.3 goes along the lines of the proofs of Theorem [3.6,](#page-13-0) Theorem [4.3,](#page-17-0) and Theorem [4.4.](#page-21-0) By the second part of Lemma [5.2](#page-27-0) the case of  $\langle T_{F,p} s_{f_1,p}, s_{f_2,p} \rangle_p$  such that  $F \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(X, \text{End}(E))$  has compact support is strictly analogous.

## *5.2. Orbifold Case*

In this section, we consider a complete Kähler orbifold  $(X, J, \omega, g^{TX})$  satisfying  $(5.1)$  $(5.1)$  $(5.1)$ , a proper holomorphic Hermitian orbifold line  $(L, h^L)$  bundle over X with Chern connection  $\nabla^L$  satisfying ([1.1](#page-0-0)), and a proper holomorphic Hermitian orbifold vector bundle  $(E, h^E)$  over *X* endowed with its Chern connection  $\nabla^E$ . To give a precise meaning to these notions, we first state some notations and definitions from [[25,](#page-42-0) Section 5.4].

DEFINITION 5.4. Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the category whose objects are the pairs  $(M, G)$  with *M* a smooth connected manifold and *G* a finite group acting effectively on *M* and whose morphisms  $\Phi$  :  $(M, G) \rightarrow (M', G')$  are families of open embeddings  $\varphi: M \to M'$  satisfying:

- For each  $\varphi \in \Phi$ , there is an injective group homomorphism  $\lambda_{\varphi}: G \to G'$  such that  $\varphi$  is  $\lambda_{\varphi}$ -equivariant.
- For  $g \in G'$  and  $\varphi \in \Phi$ , define  $g\varphi : M \to M'$  by the formula  $(g\varphi)(x) = g\varphi(x)$ for any  $x \in M$ . If  $(g\varphi)(M) \cap \varphi(M) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $g \in \lambda_{\varphi}(G)$ .

<span id="page-29-0"></span>• For  $\varphi \in \Phi$ , we have  $\Phi = \{g\varphi | g \in G'\}.$ 

DEFINITION 5.5. Let *X* be a paracompact Hausdorff space, and let  $\mathcal{U}_X$  be a covering of *X* consisting of connected open subsets satisfying the condition

For any 
$$
U, U' \in \mathcal{U}_X
$$
 and  $x \in U \cap U'$ ,  
there is  $U'' \in \mathcal{U}_X$  such that  $x \in U'' \subset U \cap U'$ . (5.5)

An *orbifold structure*  $\mathcal{V}_X$  on *X* consists of the following data:

- For any  $U \in \mathcal{U}_X$ , an object  $(G_U, U)$  of  $\mathcal M$  and a ramified covering  $\tau_U : U \to U$ that is  $G_U$ -invariant and induces a homeomorphism  $U \simeq U/G_{U_{\infty}}$
- For any  $U, V \in \mathcal{U}_X$  such that  $U \subset V$ , a morphism  $\Phi_{VU} : (G_U, U) \to (G_V, V)$ of M that covers the inclusion  $U \subset V$  and satisfies  $\Phi_{WII} = \Phi_{WV} \circ \Phi_{VII}$  for any  $U, V, W \in \mathcal{U}_X$  with  $U \subset V \subset W$ .

If  $\mathcal{U}_X$  is a refinement of  $\mathcal{U}_X$  satisfying condition (5.5), then there is an orbifold structure  $\mathcal{V}'_X$  associated with  $\mathcal{U}'_X$  such that  $\mathcal{V}_X \cup \mathcal{V}'_X$  is again an orbifold structure. We then say that  $\mathcal{V}_X$  and  $\mathcal{V}'_X$  are *equivalent*. An equivalence class is called an *orbifold structure* on *X*. In particular, we can suppose that  $\mathcal{U}_X$  is arbitrarily fine. We further always consider the unique maximal representative in the equivalence class.

In the above definitions, we can replace the objects of  $\mathcal{M}$  by manifolds with specified structures together with a group and morphisms preserving these structures. In the case in hand, by structure we mean an orientation, a Riemannian metric, a symplectic structure, and an almost-complex structure or a complex structure. Furthermore, we can realize Cartesian products of orbifolds in an obvious way.

Let  $(X, \mathcal{V}_X)$  be an orbifold. For each  $x \in X$ , up to refinement of  $\mathcal{V}_X$ , there exists  $U_x \in \mathcal{U}_X$  containing *x* and  $\widetilde{x} \in U$ ,  $\tau_U(\widetilde{x}) = x$ , such that  $\widetilde{x}$  is a fixed point of  $G_U$ . Then by the second axiom of Definition  $5.4$  such a group is unique up to isomorphism, and we denote it by  $G_x^X$ . If  $|G_x^X| = 1$ , then *X* has a smooth structure in a neighborhood of *x*, and we call such *x* a *smooth point* of *X*. If  $|G_x^X| > 1$ , then we call such *x* a *singular point* of *X*. We denote  $X_{\text{sing}} = \{x \in X | |G_x^X| > 1\}$  the *singular set* of *X*, and  $X_{\text{reg}} = \{x \in X | |G_X^X| = 1\}$  the *regular set* of *X*. We further denote by  $\widetilde{x} \in U$  a lift of  $x \in U \in \mathcal{U}_X$ .

The next definitions are adaptations of the notions of orbifold embedding and submersion from  $[23,$  $[23,$  Defs. 1.6 and 1.7].

DEFINITION 5.6. An *orbifold immersion*  $I:(Y, \mathcal{V}_Y) \to (X, \mathcal{V}_X)$  is a continuous map  $\iota: Y \to X$  such that for any  $V \in \mathcal{U}_X$  and any connected component  $U \in \mathcal{U}_Y$ of  $\iota^{-1}(V)$ , there is a family  $I_{UV}$  of immersions  $\iota_{UV} : \widetilde{U} \to \widetilde{V}$  covering  $\iota$  together with surjective group homomorphisms  $\lambda_{UV}$ :  $G_V \rightarrow G_U$  such that  $\iota_{UV}$  is  $\lambda_{UV}$ equivariant. Furthermore, the families  $I_{UV}$  satisfy  $I_{UV} = \{g_l v_{UV} | g \in G_U\}$  and are compatible with the orbifold structures in the obvious sense. In that case, we define the *stabilizer* of *V* in *U* by  $K_{UV} = \text{Ker} \lambda_{UV}$ . Then  $m_{X,Y} := |K_{UV}|$  is locally constant on *Y* and is called the *relative multiplicity* on *Y* .

A *singular immersion*  $\hat{I}$  from a smooth manifold *Y* to an orbifold  $(X, \mathcal{V}_X)$  is a continuous map  $\iota: Y \to X$  together with immersions  $\tilde{\iota}_V : U \to V$  covering  $\iota$ <br>for our *V*  $\in \mathcal{U}$ , such that  $\iota: (\iota V)$  interacts  $(\iota V)$  algority in the cause of Definifor any  $V \in \mathcal{U}_X$  such that  $g \cdot \iota(U)$  intersects  $\iota(U)$  cleanly in the sense of Defini-tion [4.1](#page-16-0) for all  $g \in G_V$ . In that case, we define the stabilizer of *U* in *V* by the subgroup  $K_{UV} \subset G_V$  fixing each point of  $\tilde{\iota}_V(U)$ , and the *relative multiplicity*  $m_X$   $_Y = |K_{UV}|$  is again locally constant on *Y*.

An *orbifold submersion*  $P : (M, \mathcal{V}_M) \to (X, \mathcal{V}_X)$  is the data of a continuous map  $\pi : M \to X$  such that  $\pi(U) \in \mathcal{U}_X$  for any  $U \in \mathcal{U}_M$ , together with submersions  $\pi_U : \widetilde{U} \to \widetilde{\pi(U)}$  covering  $\pi$  and surjective group homomorphisms  $\lambda_U : G_U \to G_{\pi(U)}$  for any  $U \in \mathcal{U}_X$  making  $\pi_U$  be  $\lambda_U$ -equivariant. Furthermore, we assume compatibility with the orbifold structures in the obvious sense.

Note that any  $x \in X$  can be seen as an immersed orbifold with  $m_{X,x} = |G_x|$ . In both definitions of an immersion above, if  $\iota^{-1}(X_{sing})$  has a strictly positive measure for the density induced by any Riemannian metric, then  $G_V$  fixes  $\iota(U)$ , and  $m_X$ <sub>Y</sub> is strictly positive. The intersection of two orbifold immersions is still defined as in Definition [4.1](#page-16-0) to be their fibered product over *X*, which gets a natural orbifold structure making all maps into orbifold immersions.

Finally, note that we can easily combine the definitions above to get the notion of a singular orbifold immersion, and the results of this section hold in this case as well. For simplicity and clarity, we will keep both notions separated from each other.

Definition 5.7. An *orbifold vector bundle E* over *X* is an orbifold submersion  $P: (E, \mathcal{V}_E) \to (X, \mathcal{V}_X)$  such that for any  $U \in \mathcal{U}_X$ , the open set  $E_U := \pi^{-1}(U)$ belongs to  $\mathcal{U}_E$  and  $\pi_{E_U} : E_U \to U$  is a  $G_{E_U}$ -equivariant vector bundle. Furthermore, we suppose that the inclusions  $\Phi_{EvEu}$  covering  $\Phi_{VU}$  are equivariant vector bundle maps for any  $U, V \in \mathcal{U}_X$  such that  $U \subset V$ .

If  $G_{E_U}$  acts effectively on *U* for all  $U \in \mathcal{U}_X$ , that is, the group morphisms  $\lambda_{E_U}$ :  $G_{E_U} \rightarrow G_U$  associated with *P* as in Definition [5.6](#page-29-0) are isomorphisms, then we say that *E* is *proper.*

Then we can define the proper tangent orbifold bundle *T X* and the proper cotangent orbifold bundle  $T^*X$  over any orbifold  $(X, \mathcal{V}_X)$  in the obvious way. We can as well form tensor products of vector bundles by taking the tensor products locally over each orbifold chart, and we easily check that this operation preserves properness. If *E* is a proper orbifold bundle over *X* and if  $\Psi$  :  $(X, \mathcal{V}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{V}_Y)$ is any of the orbifold maps of Definition [5.6](#page-29-0), then we can pullback  $E$  to  $Y$  by  $\Psi$ in the obvious way, and we write  $\Psi^*E$  for the pullback orbifold vector bundle, which is still proper.

We define a distance on *X* for any  $x, y \in X$  by

$$
d(x, y) = \inf_{\gamma} \left\{ \sum_{j} \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_{j+1}} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \widetilde{\gamma}_j(t) \right| dt | \gamma : [0, 1] \to X, \gamma(0) = x, \gamma(1) = y, \right\}
$$

such that there exist  $t_0 = 0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_k = 1$ ,  $\gamma([t_{i-1}, t_i]) \subset U_i$ ,

<span id="page-31-0"></span>
$$
U_j \in \mathcal{U}_X, \text{ and a smooth map } \widetilde{\gamma}_j : [t_{j-1}, t_j] \to \widetilde{U}_j
$$
  
that covers  $\gamma|_{[t_{j-1}, t_j]}\Big\}$ . (5.6)

Let  $E \to X$  be an orbifold vector bundle. An orbifold section  $s : X \to E$  is called *smooth* if for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}_X$ , the restriction of *s* to *U* is covered by a  $G_U^E$ equivariant smooth section  $\tilde{s}_U : U \to E_U$ . In the same way, if *X* is a complex exiting land *F* is a halomorphic exiting in the shall of the sum and that a is orbifold and *E* is a holomorphic orbifold vector bundle, then we say that *s* is holomorphic if it is locally covered by holomorphic sections. The space of smooth (resp., holomorphic) sections of *E* is denoted by  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(X, E)$  (resp.,  $H^0(X, E)$ ).

If *X* is oriented and  $\alpha$  is a smooth section of the exterior product orbifold bundle  $\Lambda(T^*X)$  with support in  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ , then we define

$$
\int_{X} \alpha = \frac{1}{|G_U|} \int_{\widetilde{U}} \widetilde{\alpha}_U,\tag{5.7}
$$

where  $\tilde{\alpha}_U$  is an invariant section covering  $\alpha$  over *U*. We extend this definition for general *α* using a partition of unity. In particular, if *X* is oriented and Riemannian, then there is an induced Riemannian volume form  $dv<sub>X</sub>$  on  $X$ , so that we can integrate functions.

Let now  $(X, J, \omega)$  be a Kähler orbifold. As we can verify locally, for any Hermitian holomorphic proper orbifold bundle over *X*, its Chern connection is welldefined and unique. Let  $(L, h^L)$  be a proper holomorphic Hermitian orbifold line bundle over *X* such that its Chern connection satisfies ([1.1](#page-0-0)). We write  $g^{TX}$  for the Riemannian metric on *X* satisfying  $(2.3)$  and  $dv<sub>X</sub>$  for the associated Riemannian volume form. Let  $(E, h^E)$  be an auxiliary proper holomorphic Hermitian orbifold vector bundle over *X*.

We define the  $L^2$ -Hermitian product associated with all the previous data on  $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}(X, E_p)$  by formula ([2.9](#page-6-0)), and the *Bergman kernel* is the Schwartz kernel  $P_p(\cdot, \cdot) \in \mathscr{C}^\infty(X \times X, E_p \boxtimes E_p^*)$  with respect to  $dv_X$  of the orthogonal projection  $P_p$  from  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(X, E_p)$  to  $H^0_{(2)}(X, E_p)$  as in [\(2.12\)](#page-6-0). For any  $V \in \mathcal{U}_X$  and  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , let  $\widetilde{P}_p(\cdot, \cdot) \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\widetilde{V} \times \widetilde{V}, \widetilde{E}_p, V \boxtimes \widetilde{E}^*_{p,V})$  be the  $G_V \times G_V$ -invariant lift of  $P_p(\cdot, \cdot) \in \mathscr{C}^\infty(V \times V, E_p \boxtimes E_p^*)$ . More generally, for any object on  $V \in \mathscr{U}_X$ , we add a superscript<sup>-\*</sup> to denote the corresponding object on *V*.

For any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $|\cdot|_{\mathscr{C}^m}$  denote the local  $\mathscr{C}^m$ -norm induced by  $h^L, h^E$ , and  $\nabla^L, \nabla^E$  on local sections of  $E_p \boxtimes E_p^*$  over  $X \times X$ . The following result is the version of Lemma  $2.5$  for orbifolds. It uses the fact, noticed in [[23\]](#page-42-0), that the finite propagation speed of the wave equation holds on orbifolds.

Proposition 5.8 ([\[27](#page-42-0), Section 6.2], [[25,](#page-42-0) Rem. 5.4.12b)]). *Proposition [2.3](#page-7-0) holds in the case of complete Kähler orbifold (X,J,ω,gT X) satisfying* ([5.1](#page-27-0)). *Moreover*, *for any*  $V \in \mathscr{U}_X$ , there exists a section  $F(\widetilde{D}_p)(\cdot,\cdot) \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\widetilde{V} \times \widetilde{V}, \widetilde{E}_{p,V} \boxtimes \widetilde{E}_{p,V}^*)$ *satisfying the following properties*:

*For any*  $\widetilde{x}$ ,  $\widetilde{y} \in V$  *and*  $g \in G_V$ ,

$$
(g,1)F(\widetilde{D}_p)(g^{-1}\widetilde{x},\widetilde{y}) = (1,g^{-1})F(\widetilde{D}_p)(\widetilde{x},g\widetilde{y}).
$$
\n(5.8)

<span id="page-32-0"></span>*For any*  $m, l \in \mathbb{N}$ , *there is*  $C_{m,l} > 0$  *such that for all*  $\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y} \in \widetilde{V}$  *and*  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ,

$$
\left| \widetilde{P}_p(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y}) - \sum_{g \in G_U} (1, g^{-1}) F(\widetilde{D}_p)(\widetilde{x}, g \widetilde{y}) \right|_{\mathscr{C}^m} \le C_{m,l} p^{-l}.
$$
 (5.9)

 $F(D_p)(\cdot, \cdot)$  *satisfies the expansion of Lemma [2.5](#page-9-0) at any*  $x_0 \in V$ .

With all these prerequisites in hand, Definition [3.1](#page-10-0) still makes sense in this context replacing the immersion *ι* by an orbifold immersion or singular immersion *I* as in Definition [5.6](#page-29-0). In the second case, we talk about a *singular* Bohr–Sommerfeld submanifold. In any case, if  $\Lambda$  is compact, then the associated isotropic state as in  $(3.2)$  is well defined, and Proposition  $3.4$  still holds. We will use the additivity property ([3.4](#page-11-0)) to assume that the section *f* of Definition [3.3](#page-11-0) has a compact support in some given open set  $U \in \mathscr{U}_{\Lambda}$ .

THEOREM 5.9. *Let*  $(X, J, \omega, g^{TX})$  *be a complete Kähler orbifold satisfying* [\(2.3\)](#page-5-0), let  $(L, h^L)$  *be a holomorphic Hermitian proper orbifold line bundle such that the curvature of its Chern connection satisfies*[\(1.1\)](#page-0-0), *and let (E,hE) be a holomorphic Hermitian proper orbifold vector bundle. Suppose that*  $(X, J, \omega, g^{TX})$  *satisfies*  $(5.1).$  $(5.1).$  $(5.1).$ 

 $If (A, I, \zeta)$  *is a compact Bohr–Sommerfeld submanifold of*  $X$  *and if the endomorphism*  $F \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(X, \text{End}(E))$  *has a compact support, then Theorem* [3.6](#page-13-0) *holds with the following formula for the first coefficient of* ([3.12](#page-13-0)):

$$
b_0 = 2^{d/2} m_{X,\Lambda} \int_{\Lambda} \langle Ff, f \rangle_{\iota^* E} dv_{\Lambda}.
$$
 (5.10)

*If*  $(\Lambda_i, I_i, \zeta_i)$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , *are two compact Bohr–Sommerfeld submanifolds of X* intersecting cleanly and if  $F \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(X, \text{End}(E))$  has a compact support, then *the expansion of Theorem [4.4](#page-21-0) holds. If* dim  $\Lambda_1 = n$ , *then the first coefficients*  $b_{q,0}$ *of* ([4.20](#page-21-0)) *satisfy formula* [\(4.4\)](#page-17-0) *multiplied by*

$$
m_{X,\Lambda_2}/m_{\Lambda_1,Y_q}.\tag{5.11}
$$

*Finally*, *the above holds for compact singular Bohr–Sommerfeld submanifolds of X*, *provided that their intersection locus is away from the singular set*.

*Proof.* Let  $(\Lambda, I, \zeta)$  be a compact Bohr–Sommerfeld submanifold, let  $U \in \mathcal{U}_{\Lambda}$ be a connected component of  $\iota^{-1}(V)$  for  $V \in \mathcal{U}_X$  sufficiently small and take  $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\Lambda, I^*E)$  to have compact support in *U*. Then using ([5.7](#page-31-0)) and (5.9), for any  $\widetilde{x} \in V$ , we have, as  $p \to +\infty$ ,

$$
\widetilde{s}_{f,p}(\widetilde{x}) = \frac{1}{|G_U|} \int_{\widetilde{U}} \widetilde{P}_p(\widetilde{x}, \iota_{UV}(\widetilde{y})) \iota_{p,UV} \cdot \widetilde{f}\widetilde{\zeta}^p(\widetilde{y}) dv_{\widetilde{U}}(\widetilde{y}) \n= \frac{1}{|G_U|} \int_{\widetilde{U}} \sum_{g \in G_V} (1, g^{-1}) F(\widetilde{D}_p)(\widetilde{x}, g \iota_{UV}(\widetilde{y})) \iota_{p,UV} \cdot \widetilde{f}\widetilde{\zeta}^p(\widetilde{y}) dv_{\widetilde{U}}(\widetilde{y}) \n+ O(p^{-\infty})
$$

<span id="page-33-0"></span>
$$
= \frac{1}{|G_U|} \int_{\widetilde{U}} \sum_{g \in G_V} F(\widetilde{D}_p)(\widetilde{x}, \iota_{UV}(\widetilde{y})) \iota_{p,UV} \cdot (g \cdot \widetilde{f} \widetilde{\zeta}^p (g^{-1} \widetilde{y})) dv_{\widetilde{U}}(\widetilde{y}) + O(p^{-\infty}) = \frac{|G_V|}{|G_U|} \int_{\widetilde{U}} F(\widetilde{D}_p)(\widetilde{x}, \iota_{UV}(\widetilde{y})) \iota_{p,UV} \cdot \widetilde{f} \widetilde{\zeta}^p(\widetilde{y}) dv_{\widetilde{U}}(\widetilde{y}) + O(p^{-\infty}).
$$
(5.12)

Here  $\iota_{UV}: U \to V$  is any member of the family of maps in  $I_{U\underline{V}}$ . Now by Defi-nition [5.6](#page-29-0) we have  $|G_V|/|G_U| = m_{X,\Lambda}$ . By Proposition [5.8](#page-31-0)  $F(D_p)(\cdot, \cdot)$  satisfies the expansion of Lemma [2.5](#page-9-0) at any  $x_0 \in V$ , so that we can follow the proof of Theorem [3.6](#page-13-0) to deduce from (5.12) an asymptotic expansion as  $p \to +\infty$  of the form  $(3.10)$  $(3.10)$  $(3.10)$  for the norm of  $s_{f,p}$  with highest coefficient given by  $(5.10)$  in the case  $F = Id_F$ .

For any  $j = 1, 2$ , let  $(\Lambda_j, I_j, \zeta_j)$  be compact Bohr–Sommerfeld submanifolds, and let  $f_i \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\Lambda, I^*E)$  have compact supports in a sufficiently small open set  $U_j \in \mathcal{U}_\Lambda$ , a connected component of  $\iota^{-1}(V)$  for some  $V \in \mathcal{U}_X$ . Then as the reproducing property  $(3.5)$  $(3.5)$  $(3.5)$  still holds, analogously to  $(4.6)$  and  $(5.12)$ , using  $(5.7)$  $(5.7)$  $(5.7)$ and ([5.9](#page-32-0)) and omitting the immersion maps, we have, as  $p \to +\infty$ ,

$$
\langle s_{1,p}, s_{2,p} \rangle_p
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{|G_{U_2}|} \int_{\widetilde{U}_2} \langle \widetilde{\zeta}_{f_1,p}(\widetilde{x}), \widetilde{\zeta}_2^p \widetilde{f}_2(\widetilde{x}) \rangle_{E_p} dv_{\widetilde{U}_2}(\widetilde{x})
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{|G_{U_1}|} \frac{1}{|G_{U_2}|} \int_{\widetilde{U}_2} \int_{\widetilde{U}_1} \langle \widetilde{P}_p(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y}) \widetilde{\zeta}_1^p \widetilde{f}_1(\widetilde{y}), \widetilde{\zeta}_2^p \widetilde{f}_2(\widetilde{x}) \rangle_{E_p} dv_{\widetilde{U}_1}(\widetilde{y}) dv_{\widetilde{U}_2}(\widetilde{x})
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{|G_V|}{|G_{U_1}| |G_{U_2}|} \int_{\widetilde{U}_2} \int_{\widetilde{U}_1} \langle F(\widetilde{D}_p)(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y}) \widetilde{\zeta}_1^p \widetilde{f}_1(\widetilde{y}), \widetilde{\zeta}_2^p \widetilde{f}_2(\widetilde{x}) \rangle_{E_p} dv_{\widetilde{U}_1}(\widetilde{y}) dv_{\widetilde{U}_2}(\widetilde{x})
$$
\n
$$
+ O(p^{-\infty}). \tag{5.13}
$$

By Definition [5.6](#page-29-0) we have  $m_{X,\Lambda_2} = |G_V|/|G_{U_2}|$ , thus  $m_{\Lambda_1,y} = |G_y^{\Lambda_1}| = |G_{U_1}|$ for *U*<sub>1</sub> small enough. For discrete intersection, take  $y \in \iota_2^{-1}(\iota_1(\Lambda_1) \cap \iota_2(\Lambda_2))$ and a small enough neighborhood  $V \in \mathcal{U}_X$  of  $\iota_1(y) \in X$  to get [\(5.11\)](#page-32-0) in the case  $F = Id_E$  and discrete intersections.

Recall Definition [4.1.](#page-16-0) Let now  $\hat{W}$  be the lift of some open set  $W \in \mathcal{U}_Y$ , where *Y* is the connected component of  $\Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2$  such that its image by  $j_1$  intersects the support of  $f_1$ , and set  $l = \dim Y$ . In the case of clean intersection, we can follow the proof of Theorem  $4.4$  until  $(4.30)$  to get an asymptotic expansion of the form ([4.20](#page-21-0)) and get from (5.13) a sequence  $b_r \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(Y, \mathbb{C})$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that, as  $p \rightarrow +\infty$ ,

$$
\langle s_{1,p}, s_{2,p} \rangle_p
$$
  
=  $\frac{|G_V|}{|G_{U_1}||G_{U_2}|} p^{l/2} \lambda^p \sum_{r=0}^k p^{-r/2} \int_{\widetilde{W}} \widetilde{b}_r(\widetilde{x}) dv_{\widetilde{W}}(\widetilde{x}) + O(p^{l/2 - (k+1)/2})$ 

<span id="page-34-0"></span>
$$
= \frac{|G_V|}{|G_{U_2}|} \frac{|G_W|}{|G_{U_1}|} p^{l/2} \lambda^p \sum_{r=0}^k p^{-r/2} \int_W b_r(x) dv_Y(x) + O(p^{l/2 - (k+1)/2})
$$
  

$$
= \frac{m_{X,\Lambda_2}}{m_{\Lambda_1,Y}} p^{l/2} \lambda^p \sum_{r=0}^k p^{-r/2} \int_W b_r(x) dv_Y(x) + O(p^{l/2 - (k+1)/2}). \tag{5.14}
$$

Then we can follow the proof of Theorem [4.4](#page-21-0) to get  $(5.11)$  in the case  $F = Id_E$ . Now for the general case, if  $F \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(X, \text{End}(E))$  has a compact support, then we can define its Berezin–Toeplitz quantization by  $(2.13)$  $(2.13)$  $(2.13)$ , and it is shown in [[27,](#page-42-0) Lemma 6.101 that it satisfies Lemma [2.6](#page-9-0) as well. Furthermore, formula  $(3.6)$  $(3.6)$  holds in the same way.

Finally, let us consider the case of singular Bohr–Sommerfeld submanifolds. Following  $(5.12)$ – $(5.14)$ , it suffices to prove the case  $m_{X,Y} = 1$ , and as we assumed the intersection locus away from the singular set, we need only to prove the analogue of [\(3.10\)](#page-13-0) and suppose that *f* has a compact support in some  $U \in \mathcal{U}_X$ .

First, recall that the reproducing property gives

$$
||s_{f,p}||_p^2 = \int_{\Lambda} \langle s_{f,p}(t(x)), t_p, \zeta^p f(x) \rangle_{E_p} dv_{\Lambda}(x)
$$
  
\n
$$
= \int_U \int_U \langle \widetilde{P}_p(\widetilde{t}_V(x), \widetilde{t}_V(y)) \widetilde{t}_p, \widetilde{\zeta}^p \widetilde{f}(y), \widetilde{t}_p, \widetilde{\zeta}^p \widetilde{f}(x) \rangle_{E_p} dv_{\Lambda}(y) dv_{\Lambda}(x)
$$
  
\n
$$
= \sum_{g \in G_V} \int_U \int_U \langle F(\widetilde{D}_p)(\widetilde{t}_V(x), g\widetilde{t}_V(y)) g, \widetilde{t}_p, \widetilde{\zeta}^p \widetilde{f}(y), \widetilde{t}_p, \widetilde{\zeta}^p \widetilde{f}(x) \rangle_{E_p}
$$
  
\n
$$
\times dv_{\Lambda}(y) dv_{\Lambda}(x) + O(p^{-\infty}).
$$
\n(5.15)

Now, as  $G_V$  acts on *V* preserving all the structures, by Definition [5.6](#page-29-0) the immersion  $g\tilde{\iota}_V$  is an isotropic immersion intersecting  $\tilde{\iota}_V$  cleanly for any  $g \in G_V$ . As  $F(D_p)(\cdot, \cdot)$  satisfies the expansion of Lemma [2.5,](#page-9-0) we can apply Theorem [4.4](#page-21-0) to compute each term of the last line of  $(5.15)$ . Then we have an asymptotic expansion of the form  $(3.12)$ .

To compute the first-order term, note that if  $g\tilde{\iota}_V$  and  $\tilde{\iota}_V$  do not coincide, then the highest order of the corresponding expansion  $(3.12)$  $(3.12)$  $(3.12)$  is strictly smaller than *n/*2. Thus we need only to consider the subgroup of  $G_V$  fixing the image of *ι*, which contains at least the identity element of  $G_V$ . Summing the contributions of all the elements of this subgroup, by [\(4.21\)](#page-22-0) we get a function  $b_U \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(U,\mathbb{C})$ , depending on  $f$  only locally, such that the highest order term of  $(5.15)$  is given by integration of  $b_U$  along *U*. Now, as  $\iota^{-1}(X_{sing})$  is of measure 0, we can pick a sequence  $U_n \subset U, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , of open sets in  $\mathcal{U}_{\Lambda}$  containing  $\iota^{-1}(X_{sing})$  and whose measure tends to 0. Then we can repeat  $(5.15)$  replacing *U* by  $U_n$  and use  $(5.10)$  $(5.10)$  $(5.10)$ on the regular part of *V* to get the following formula for the highest order term for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$
b_0 = 2^{d/2} \int_{\Lambda \backslash U_n} \langle F f(x), f(x) \rangle_{\iota^* E} dv_{\Lambda}(x) + \int_{U_n} b_U(x) dv_{\Lambda}(x).
$$
 (5.16)

<span id="page-35-0"></span>As the second term can be made arbitrarily small, we can take the limit of  $(5.16)$  $(5.16)$  $(5.16)$ as *n* tends to infinity, so that formula [\(4.21\)](#page-22-0) holds for singular Bohr–Sommerfeld submanifolds. The contract of the contract of

# **6. Application to Relative Poincaré Series**

In this section, we apply the results of the previous section in the case of quotients of the hyperbolic plane  $\mathbb H$  by a discrete subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $SL_2(\mathbb R)$ . In that case the Bergman kernel admits an explicit global formula given in Proposition [6.5](#page-38-0) as a sum over , realizing it as a *Poincaré series.* In Proposition [6.6](#page-39-0), we show that then the isotropic states associated with remarkable curves over  $\mathbb{H}/\Gamma$  can be expressed as *relative Poincaré series*, where the sum is over a quotient of  $\Gamma$  instead. The main result of this section is Theorem  $6.3$ , which is an explicit version of Theorem [1.1](#page-2-0) in this setting, and which shows that such relative Poincaré series do not vanish as soon as their weight as *holomorphic cusp forms* is large enough.

Recall that the special linear group

$$
SL_2(\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} | a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}, ad - bc = 1 \right\}
$$
 (6.1)

acts on the Poincaré upper half-plane  $H = \{z = x + \sqrt{-1}y \in \mathbb{C} | y > 0\}$  by the formula

$$
g.z = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}.\tag{6.2}
$$

The induced action of *g* on the canonical holomorphic vector field *∂/∂z* over H is given by  $g.\partial/\partial z = (cz + d)^{-2}\partial/\partial z$ , so that the dual action on the canonical line bundle  $K_{\mathbb{H}} = T^{*(1,0)} \mathbb{H}$  over  $\mathbb{H}$  is given on the canonical section  $dz$  by

$$
g.dz = (cz + d)^2 dz =: j(g, z)^2 dz.
$$
 (6.3)

Let  $g^{T}\mathbb{H}$  be the *hyperbolic metric* on  $\mathbb{H}$  defined by the formula

$$
g^{T\mathbb{H}} = \frac{dx^2 + dy^2}{y^2},
$$
\n(6.4)

so that it is invariant by the action of  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ . The associated Kähler metric  $\omega_{\mathbb{H}}$ satisfies

$$
\omega_{\mathbb{H}} = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \frac{dz \wedge d\bar{z}}{y^2}.
$$
\n(6.5)

Let us write  $|\cdot|_{K_{\mathbb{H}}}$  for the  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ -invariant Hermitian norm on  $K_{\mathbb{H}}$  given by

$$
|dz|_{K_{\mathbb{H}}}^2 = y^2. \tag{6.6}
$$

Note that it differs from the norm induced by  $g^{T}\mathbb{H}$  from a constant factor  $\sqrt{2}$ . Then the curvature  $R^{K_{\mathbb{H}}}$  of the Chern connection of  $(K_{\mathbb{H}}, h^{K_{\mathbb{H}}})$  satisfies  $\sqrt{-1}R^{K_{\mathbb{H}}} = \omega_{\mathbb{H}}$ , so that  $R^{K_{\mathbb{H}}}$  satisfies condition [\(1.1\)](#page-0-0) for the renormalized Kähler form  $\omega_{\mathbb{H}}/2\pi$ . As  $R^{\text{det}} = -R^{K_{\mathbb{H}}}$  is proportional to  $\sqrt{-1}\omega_{\mathbb{H}}$ , we easily see that  $K_{\mathbb{H}}$  satisfies ([5.1](#page-27-0)).

Now if  $\Gamma$  is a discrete subgroup of  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ , then the quotient  $X := \mathbb{H}/\Gamma$  has an induced structure of a Kähler orbifold, and its canonical line bundle  $K_X$  is the <span id="page-36-0"></span>quotient of  $K_{\mathbb{H}}$  by the induced action [\(6.3\)](#page-35-0). We denote by  $g^{TX}$  and  $\omega_X$  the quotient metric and quotient Kähler form on  $\hat{X}$ , respectively, and we endow  $K_X$  with the Hermitian metric  $h^{K_X}$  induced by ([6.6](#page-35-0)). Then ([1.1](#page-0-0)) holds for  $K_X$  up to a factor  $2\pi$  as before, and it satisfies ([5.1\)](#page-27-0) as well. Therefore, taking  $L = K_X$  and  $E = \mathbb{C}$ , we are precisely in the context of the previous sections for the renormalized Kähler form  $\omega = \omega_X/2\pi$  with  $g_{\omega}^{TX} = g^{TX}/2\pi$ .

Recall that a smooth path  $\gamma$  :  $[0, l] \rightarrow X, l > 0$ , is said to be a *closed loop* if it induces a (singular) immersion  $\tilde{\gamma}: S^1 \to X$  by identification of 0 with *l*. The fol-<br>*b* is a large density that the class of (singular) Palac Second fold a large if the lowing lemma describes the class of (singular) Bohr–Sommerfeld submanifolds we will be interested in.

**LEMMA 6.1.** *For*  $l > 0$ , *let*  $\gamma : [0, l] \rightarrow X$  *be a closed loop in X parameterized by arclength with respect to*  $g^{TX}$ , *and suppose that the holonomy of*  $K_X$  *along γ with respect to*  $∇<sup>K</sup>$ <sup>H</sup> *is trivial. Then the immersion*  $\tilde{γ}$  : *S*<sup>1</sup> → *X*, *obtained from γ by identification of* 0 *and l*, *satisfies the Bohr–Sommerfeld condition of Definition [3.1](#page-10-0)*.

*Proof.* As  $\omega_X$  is a 2-form, any smooth map  $f : S^1 \to X$  satisfies  $f^* \omega = 0$ . Thus as dim *X* = 2, any immersion  $\iota : S^1 \to X$  is Lagrangian. By Remark [3.2](#page-11-0) it satisfies the Bohr–Sommerfeld condition if and only if the holonomy of the pullback connection is trivial, which is exactly the hypothesis of Lemma 6.1 by Remark  $3.2$ .

In any case, such a path  $\gamma$  :  $[0, l] \rightarrow X, l > 0$ , is called a *Bohr–Sommerfeld curve*. The orientation on  $\tilde{\gamma}: S^1 \to X$  is determined by the canonical vector field  $\partial_t$  on  $\tilde{\gamma}: S^1 \to X$  is determined by the canonical vector field  $\partial_t$  on [0*,l*]. Following Remark [3.2](#page-11-0), if  $\gamma$  : [0*,l*]  $\rightarrow$  *X,l* > 0, is a smooth closed loop such that its holonomy is a *k*th root of unity for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then we can take a cover of degree *k* of this loop to get a Bohr–Sommerfeld curve  $\gamma_k : [0, k] \to X$ .

Note that as *X* is a complex orbifold with dim<sub>C</sub>  $X = 1$  and as  $\Gamma$  acts on  $\mathbb{H}$  holomorphically, the singular set  $X_{sing}$  is necessarily a discrete set. By Definition [5.6,](#page-29-0) as  $S^1$  is a manifold, the stabilizer of  $\tilde{\gamma}$  is necessarily trivial in any case.

COROLLARY 6.2. *A closed geodesic loop*  $\gamma$  : [0,*l*]  $\rightarrow$  *X*, *l* > 0 *parameterized by arclength is a Bohr–Sommerfeld curve*.

*Proof.* Recall that  $K_X = T^{*(1,0)}X$  is equipped with Hermitian metric and connection  $h^{K_X}$ ,  $\nabla^{K_X}$  induced by  $g^{TX}$ ,  $\nabla^{TX}$  via [\(2.1](#page-5-0)). For any  $t \in [0, l]$ , let  $\dot{\gamma}_t \in T_{\gamma(t)}X$ denote the vector tangent to the curve  $\gamma : [0, l] \to X$ , inducing  $\dot{\gamma}_t^{(0,1)} \in T^{(0,1)}X$ via [\(2.1\)](#page-5-0). We write  $\dot{\gamma}_t^{(0,1),*} \in K_{X,\gamma(t)}$  for its metric dual. As  $\gamma : [0,l] \to X$  is geodesic, we know that  $\nabla_j^T \dot{X} \dot{\gamma} = 0$ , so that  $\nabla_j^{K_X} \dot{\gamma}^{(0,1),*} = 0$ , which precisely means that  $\tilde{\gamma}$ :  $S^1 \to X$  satisfies the Bohr–Sommerfeld condition with associated section  $(0,1) * C(0,0)$  $\gamma^{(0,1),*} \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(S^1, \widetilde{\gamma}^* K_X).$ <br> *γ is v i* and *is* 1*j* 

Now if *X* is an orbifold and if  $z \in X$  is a singular point of *X*, then its associ-ated group  $G^X_z$  preserves the Riemannian structure and sends a geodesic through *z* to another geodesic through *z*, which intersect transversally by unicity of the <span id="page-37-0"></span>geodesics. Thus  $\gamma$  : [0, *l*]  $\rightarrow$  *X* satisfies the definition of a singular immersion as in Definition [5.6](#page-29-0).  $\Box$ 

Let  $\gamma$  : [0, *l*]  $\rightarrow$  *X*, *l* > 0, be a Bohr–Sommerfeld curve together with a unitary flat section  $\zeta \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}([0, l], \gamma^* K_X)$ , inducing a (possibly singular) Bohr–Sommerfeld submanifold  $(S^1, \tilde{\gamma}, \zeta)$  as before. For any  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , we define  $s_{\gamma, p} \in H^0_{(2)}(X, K_X^p)$ by

$$
s_{\gamma,p}(x) = \int_0^l P_p^X(x, \gamma(t)) \gamma_p . \zeta^p(t) dt
$$
 (6.7)

for any  $x \in X$ , where  $P_p^X(\cdot, \cdot)$  is the Bergman kernel with respect to  $dv_X$  of the orthogonal projection on  $H^0_{(2)}(X, K_X^p)$ . Then  $s_{\gamma, p}$  is precisely the Lagrangian state associated with  $(S^1, \tilde{\gamma}, \zeta)$  and  $f = 1$  in the sense of Definition [3.3.](#page-11-0)<br>Then we see scalar Theorems 5.3 and 5.0 to set the following an

Then we can apply Theorems [5.3](#page-28-0) and [5.9](#page-32-0) to get the following specialization of Then we can apply Theorems 3.3 and 3.9 to get the following specialization of ([3.11](#page-13-0)) and [\(4.4\)](#page-17-0), where we adopt the convention that  $\sqrt{-a} = \sqrt{-1}\sqrt{a}$  if  $a > 0$ .

THEOREM 6.3. *Let*  $\gamma$  :  $[0, l] \rightarrow X, l > 0$ , *be a Bohr–Sommerfeld curve*, *and let* {*sγ,p*}*p*∈N<sup>∗</sup> *be as in* (6.7). *Then*

$$
||s_{\gamma,p}||_{L^2}^2 = \left(\frac{p}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} l + O(p^{-1/2}).
$$
\n(6.8)

*Furthermore*, *if γ*<sup>1</sup> *and γ*<sup>2</sup> *are two Bohr–Sommerfeld curves intersecting cleanly away from the singular set*, *then we get*

$$
\langle s_{\gamma_1, p}, s_{\gamma_2, p} \rangle = \sqrt{2} \sum_{z \in \gamma_1 \cap \gamma_2} \sum_{\substack{t_1, t_2 > 0, \\ \gamma_1(t_1) = \gamma_2(t_2) = z}} \lambda_{t_1, t_2}^p \frac{e^{\sqrt{-1}(\theta_z/2 - \pi/4)}}{\sqrt{\sin(\theta_z)}} + O(p^{-1}), \quad (6.9)
$$

*where*  $\theta_z \in [0, 2\pi]$  *is the oriented angle from*  $\gamma_1$  *to*  $\gamma_2$  *at z*, *and where for all*  $t_1, t_2 > 0$  *such that*  $\gamma_1(t_1) = \gamma_2(t_2)$ *, we define*  $\lambda_{t_1, t_2} = \langle \zeta_1(t_1), \zeta_2(t_2) \rangle_{K_X}$ .

*Proof.* In the case of smooth and compact  $X$ ,  $(6.8)$  and  $(6.9)$  are standard computations from  $(3.24)$  $(3.24)$  $(3.24)$  and  $(4.4)$  $(4.4)$  $(4.4)$ . We will indicate how to modify directly the argument to get the case  $g^{TX} = 2\pi g_{\omega}^{TX}$  from the case  $g^{TX} = g_{\omega}^{TX}$  in all generality.

For any  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , let us write  $P_{p,\omega}$  for the orthogonal projection to  $H^0_{(2)}(X, K_X^p)$ with respect to the  $L^2$ -Hermitian product induced by  $g_{\omega}^{TX}$ . Then  $P_{p,\omega} = P_p^X$ , but  $dv_{X,\omega} = dv_X/2\pi$ , so that the associated Bergman kernel with respect to  $dv_{X,\omega}$ satisfies  $P_{p,\omega}(\cdot,\cdot) = 2\pi P_p^X(\cdot,\cdot)$ . On the other hand, the Riemannian volume form *dt<sub>ω</sub>* on [0*, L*[ induced by  $g_a^T$  *X* satisfies  $dt_\omega = dt / \sqrt{2\pi}$ . Thus, writing  ${s_{\omega, \gamma, p}}_{p \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ for the Lagrangian state obtained replacing  $g^{TX}$  by  $g_{\omega}^{TX}$ , from ([3.2](#page-11-0)) we get that  $s_{\omega,\gamma,p} = \sqrt{2\pi} s_{\gamma,p}$  for any  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ .

Consider now two Bohr–Sommerfeld curves  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$ . Following the above notations, for any  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , we get

$$
\langle s_{\gamma_1,p}, s_{\gamma_2,p} \rangle_p = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_X \langle s_{\omega, \gamma_1,p}, s_{\omega, \gamma_2,p} \rangle_{K_X^p} dv_X = \langle s_{\omega, \gamma_1,p}, s_{\omega, \gamma_2,p} \rangle_{\omega,p}, \tag{6.10}
$$

<span id="page-38-0"></span>where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\omega, p}$  denotes the  $L^2$ -Hermitian product with respect to  $g_{\omega}^{TX}$ . Noticing finally that  $\text{Vol}_{\omega}(\gamma) = l/\sqrt{2\pi}$  for any  $\gamma : [0, l] \to X, l > 0$ , parameterized by arclength with respect to  $g^{TX}$ , we recover [\(6.8\)](#page-37-0) and ([6.9](#page-37-0)) as in the case of smooth and compact *X*.  $\Box$ 

In the case where X is a compact Riemann surface, so that in particular  $\Gamma$  acts freely on  $\mathbb{H}$ , Theorem [6.3](#page-37-0) is a result of [\[8](#page-41-0), Thm. 4.4], where ([6.8](#page-37-0)) and [\(6.9\)](#page-37-0) are shown with a weaker error term. As shown in Proposition [6.6,](#page-39-0) formulas ([6.8](#page-37-0)) and ([6.9](#page-37-0)) are especially interesting in the case of curves  $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{H}$  such that there exist  $l > 0$  and  $g_0 \in \Gamma$  satisfying  $g_0, \gamma(t) = \gamma(t+l)$  for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . We say that  $\gamma$ is *associated with g*0.

In particular, if  $\gamma$  is a closed geodesic, then  $\gamma$  is associated with a *hyperbolic* element  $g_0 \in \Gamma$ , that is, satisfying  $Tr(g_0) > 2$ , unique up to conjugation. Closed geodesics belong to a larger class of hyperbolic curves called *hypercycles.*

If  $g_0 \in \Gamma$  is *parabolic*, that is, satisfying  $Tr(g_0) = 2$ , then its action has no fixed points in  $\mathbb{H}$ , and it occurs in  $\Gamma$  only in the case of X noncompact. The most interesting associated curves in that case are the so-called *horocycles,* which are isometric to a horizontal line in H.

If  $g_0 \in \Gamma$  is *elliptic*, that is, satisfying  $Tr(g_0) < 2$ , then  $g_0$  fixes a unique point  $z \in \mathbb{H}$ , which descends to a singular point of *X*. The most interesting associated curves in that case are circles with center at the fixed point of  $g_0$  in  $\mathbb{H}$ . Note that  $\Gamma$  acts freely on  $\mathbb H$  if and only if it contains no elliptic elements.

Our next goal is to explicitly identify the Lagrangian states associated with such curves. Let  $\mathscr F$  be a measurable fundamental domain of  $\Gamma$  in  $\mathbb H$ . Through the natural identification  $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}(X, K_X) \simeq \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{H}, K_{\mathbb{H}})^{\Gamma}$  and trivializing  $K_{\mathbb{H}}$  using its canonical section  $dz$ , from  $(6.3)$  we have the following natural identification for any  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ :

$$
H_{(2)}^{0}(X, K_X^p) \simeq \left\{ f \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{H}) \middle| f \text{ holomorphic}, \right\}
$$

$$
f(g.z) = f(z) j(g, z)^{2p}, \int_{\mathscr{F}} |f(z)|^2 y^{2p-2} dx dy < \infty \right\}. \tag{6.11}
$$

We will implicitly use this identification throughout the rest of this section.

REMARK 6.4. Assume that  $Vol(X) < +\infty$ , that is,  $\Gamma$  is a *Fuchsian group of the first kind.* As explained in [[2;](#page-41-0) [3](#page-41-0), Section 6], then the space  $H_{(2)}^0(X, K_X^p)$  is identified through the identification (6.11) with the space  $S_{2p}(\Gamma)$  of *holomorphic cusp forms of weight*  $2p$  with the space of holomorphic functions on  $\mathbb{H}$  satisfying the equivariance property of  $(6.11)$  and vanishing at infinity. Such spaces are of particular interest in arithmetic.

The following result is classical and follows, for instance, from  $[16,$  $[16,$  Prop. I.5.3, II.1].

<span id="page-39-0"></span>PROPOSITION 6.5. *Under the identifications above, for any*  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , *the Bergman*  $\mathit{kernel}$  of  $H^0_{(2)}(\mathbb{H},K^p_{\mathbb{H}})$  satisfies the formula

$$
P_p^{\mathbb{H}}(z, w) = \frac{2p - 1}{4\pi} \left(\frac{2\sqrt{-1}}{z - \overline{w}}\right)^{2p} dz^p d\overline{w}^p
$$
 (6.12)

*for any z*,  $w \in \mathbb{H}$ , *where*  $d\overline{w} \in \overline{K}_{\mathbb{H},w} \simeq K_{\mathbb{H},w}^*$  *denotes the dual of*  $dw \in K_{\mathbb{H},w}$ *with respect to the metric. Furthermore, for any*  $\widetilde{w} \in \mathbb{H}$  *descending to*  $w \in X$  *in the quotient*, *we have*

$$
P_p^X(z, w) = \sum_{g \in \Gamma} j(g, z)^{-2p} P_p^{\mathbb{H}}(g.z, \widetilde{w})
$$
 (6.13)

*through identification* [\(6.11\)](#page-38-0) *in*  $z \in \mathbb{H}$ , *where the convergence of the right-hand* side is absolute and uniform for  $z, \widetilde{w}$  in any compact set of  $\mathbb H$ .

Series (6.13) is an example of *Poincaré series* and is a standard method to construct functions in  $S_{2p}(\Gamma)$  as in Remark [6.4.](#page-38-0) A fundamental problem of the theory of cusp forms is deciding whether a given series vanishes identically or not.

If  $\Gamma_0 \subset \Gamma$  is a subgroup of  $\Gamma$ , then we write  $\Gamma_0 \setminus \Gamma$  for the set of equivalence classes  $[g] := \{g_0 g \in \Gamma | g_0 \in \Gamma_0\}$  for all  $g \in \Gamma$ . Recall that if  $g_0$  is hyperbolic or parabolic, then it generates a free group  $\Gamma_0 \subset \Gamma$ , whereas if  $g_0$  is elliptic, then it generates a cyclic subgroup  $\Gamma_0 \subset \Gamma$ .

Using Proposition [6.5](#page-38-0) and a classical unfolding technique, we get explicit formulas for the Lagrangian states associated with remarkable curves. This is described in the next result.

**PROPOSITION 6.6.** Let  $g_0 \in \Gamma$ , and let  $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{H}$  be a smooth curve on  $\mathbb{H}$  pa*rameterized by arclength, together with a unitary flat section*  $\zeta \in \gamma^* K_{\mathbb{H}}$  *such that there is*  $l > 0$  *satisfying*  $g_0 \cdot \gamma(t) = \gamma(t+l)$  *and*  $g_0 \cdot \zeta(t) = \zeta(t+l)$  *for all*  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . *Write*  $\Gamma_0 \subset \Gamma$  *for the subgroup generated by*  $g_0$ *.* 

*If*  $g_0$  *is hyperbolic or parabolic, then the Lagrangian state*  $\{s_{\gamma, p}\}_{p \in \mathbb{N}^*}$  *associated with*  $\gamma$  *is given through* ([6.11](#page-38-0)) *and for any*  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$  *by* 

$$
s_{\gamma, p}(z) = \frac{2p - 1}{4\pi} \sum_{[g] \in \Gamma_0 \backslash \Gamma} j(g, z)^{-2p}
$$

$$
\times \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left(\frac{2\sqrt{-1}}{g \cdot z - \overline{\gamma(t)}}\right)^{2p} \langle \zeta(t), d\gamma(t) \rangle_{K_X} dt.
$$
(6.14)

*If*  $g_0$  *is elliptic, then letting*  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  *be the order of*  $\Gamma_0$ *, the Lagrangian state*  ${s_{\gamma,p}}_{p \in \mathbb{N}^*}$  *is given through* ([6.11](#page-38-0)) *and for any*  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$  *by* 

$$
s_{\gamma,p}(z) = \frac{2p-1}{4\pi} \sum_{[g]\in\Gamma_0\backslash\Gamma} j(g,z)^{-2p}
$$

$$
\times \int_0^n \left(\frac{2\sqrt{-1}}{g.z - \overline{\gamma(t)}}\right)^{2p} \langle \zeta(t), d\gamma(t) \rangle_{K_X} dt.
$$
(6.15)

*The convergences of the series in* [\(6.14\)](#page-39-0) *and* [\(6.15\)](#page-39-0) *are absolute and uniform for z in any compact set of* H.

*Proof.* Recall that  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  acts on  $\mathbb{H}$  by holomorphic isometries and that the induced action on  $K_X$  preserves  $h^{K_X}$ . This implies in particular that the Bergman kernel of  $H^0_{(2)}(X, K_X)$  is invariant by  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ . Using ([6.3](#page-35-0)), we have for any  $w \in \mathbb{H}, g \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ , and  $\zeta \in K_{\mathbb{H},w}$ ,

$$
j(g, z)^{-2p} P_p^{\mathbb{H}}(g.z, w) \zeta = P_p^{\mathbb{H}}(z, g^{-1}.w) g^{-1} . \zeta \tag{6.16}
$$

through identification [\(6.11\)](#page-38-0) in  $z \in \mathbb{H}$ . On the other hand, for any  $g, h \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ and  $w \in \mathbb{H}$ , the cocycle formula  $j(gh, w) = j(g, h, w)j(h, w)$  holds by definition. Consider hyperbolic or parabolic  $g_0 \in \Gamma$ , and let  $l > 0$  be the smallest positive number satisfying  $g_0 \cdot \gamma(t) = \gamma(t + l)$  and  $g_0 \cdot \zeta(t) = \zeta(t + l)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then from  $(6.7)$  $(6.7)$  $(6.7)$  and from the uniform convergence of  $(6.13)$  we get

$$
s_{\gamma,p}(z) = \int_{\gamma} \sum_{g \in \Gamma} j(g, z)^{-2p} P_p^{\mathbb{H}}(g.z, \gamma(t)) \zeta(t) dt
$$
  
\n
$$
= \sum_{[g] \in \Gamma_0 \backslash \Gamma} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} j(g_0^n g, z)^{-2p} \int_0^l P_p^{\mathbb{H}}(g_0^n g.z, \gamma(t)) \zeta(t) dt
$$
  
\n
$$
= \sum_{[g] \in \Gamma_0 \backslash \Gamma} j(g, z)^{-2p} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_0^l P_p^{\mathbb{H}}(g.z, g_0^{-n}.\gamma(t)) g_0^{-n}.\zeta(t) dt
$$
  
\n
$$
= \sum_{[g] \in \Gamma_0 \backslash \Gamma} j(g, z)^{-2p} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{-nl}^{-(n+1)l} P_p^{\mathbb{H}}(g.z, \gamma(t)) \zeta(t) dt
$$
  
\n
$$
= \sum_{[g] \in \Gamma_0 \backslash \Gamma} j(g, z)^{-2p} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} P_p^{\mathbb{H}}(g.z, \gamma(t)) \zeta(t) dt, \qquad (6.17)
$$

and we conclude by  $(6.12)$  $(6.12)$  $(6.12)$ . Note that the sums in  $(6.17)$  do not depend on the choice of the representatives  $g \in \Gamma$  of any  $[g] \in \Gamma_0 \backslash \Gamma$ . The elliptic case [\(6.15\)](#page-39-0) is strictly analogous.

The series [\(6.14\)](#page-39-0) and ([6.15](#page-39-0)) are called *relative Poincaré series.* We can now state our main theorem, which is a consequence of Theorem [6.3](#page-37-0).

**THEOREM 6.7.** *If*  $\gamma$  :  $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{H}$  *satisfying the hypotheses of Proposition* [6.6](#page-39-0) *descends to a Bohr–Sommerfeld curve, then there is*  $p_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  *such that the associated series*  $(6.14)$  $(6.14)$  $(6.14)$  *or*  $(6.15)$  $(6.15)$  *do not vanish identically for*  $p > p_0$ . *This holds in particular if*  $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{H}$  *is a closed geodesic.* 

*Proof.* By [\(6.8](#page-37-0)) we know that there is  $p_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $s_{\gamma, p}$  is nonvanishing for  $p \geq p_0$ , so that we can conclude by Corollary [6.2](#page-36-0) and Proposition [6.6.](#page-39-0)

In general, there are simple numerical criterions for horocycles, circles, and hypercycles to satisfy the Bohr–Sommerfeld condition, and the integral in sums  $(6.14)$  $(6.14)$  $(6.14)$  and  $(6.15)$  can be computed explicitly using Proposition [6.5](#page-38-0) and elementary

<span id="page-41-0"></span>complex analysis. In particular, as computed in [8, Thm. 4.11], if  $g_0 = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  is a hyperbolic element of Γ, then the series [\(6.14\)](#page-39-0) for a closed geodesic  $γ$  associated with  $g_0$  takes the form

$$
s_{\gamma, p}(z) = C_p \sum_{[g] \in \Gamma_0 \backslash \Gamma} j(g, z)^{-2p} (c(g. z)^2 + (d - a)(g. z) - b)^{-p} \tag{6.18}
$$

with explicit nonvanishing constant  $C_p \in \mathbb{C}$  for all  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , and we recover up to normalization the relative Poincaré series associated with closed hyperbolic geodesics by Katok  $[21,$  $[21,$  Section 1]. Furthermore, from Theorem [6.3](#page-37-0) we get a formula for the highest order term as  $p \rightarrow +\infty$  of the intersection product of two closed geodesics, recovering a result of  $[21, Thm. 3]$  $[21, Thm. 3]$  $[21, Thm. 3]$ . As shown in  $[21, Thm. 1]$  $[21, Thm. 1]$ , if  $\Gamma$  is a Fuchsian group of the first kind, then the series associated with the primitive hyperbolic elements of  $\Gamma$  as before generate the whole space  $S_{2p}(\Gamma)$ .

Finally, note that there are many discrete subgroups  $\Gamma \subset SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  of interest containing elliptic points and leading to noncompact quotients  $X = \mathbb{H}/\Gamma$ , even in the case where  $\Gamma$  is Fuchsian of the first kind. The most famous examples are the classical modular curves.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. This paper is a part of the author's PhD thesis under the supervision of prof. Xiaonan Ma, and the author wants to thank him for his constant support. The author also wants to thank the anonymous referees for useful comments and suggestions. Part of this work was done while the author was visiting the Institute for Mathematical Sciences of Singapore, NUS, in May 2017, and he would like to thank them for their hospitality. This work was supported by the grant DIM-RDF from Région Ile-de-France.

## **References**

- [1] N. Alluhaibi and T. Barron, *On vector-valued automorphic forms on bounded symmetric domains,* Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 55 (2019), no. 3, 417–441.
- [2] H. Auvray, X. Ma, and G. Marinescu, *Bergman kernels on punctured Riemann surfaces,* C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 354 (2016), 1018–1022.
- [3] , *Bergman kernels on punctured Riemann surfaces,* 2016, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1604.06337>.
- [4] T. Baier, C. Florentino, J. M. Mourão, and J. P. Nunes, *Toric Kähler metrics seen from infinity, quantization and compact tropical amoebas,* J. Differential Geom. 89 (2011), no. 3, 411–454.
- [5] T. Barron, *Closed geodesics and pluricanonical sections on ball quotients,* Complex Anal. Synergies 5 (2019), no. 1, Paper No. 5, 8.
- [6] J.-M. Bismut and E. Vasserot, *The asymptotics of the Ray–Singer analytic torsion associated with high powers of a positive line bundle,* Comm. Math. Phys. 125 (1989), no. 2, 355–367.
- [7] M. Bordemann, E. Meinrenken, and M. Schlichenmaier, *Toeplitz quantization of Kähler manifolds and*  $gl(N)$ *,*  $N \rightarrow \infty$  *limits, Comm. Math. Phys.* 165 (1994), no. 2, 281–296.
- [8] D. Borthwick, T. Paul, and A. Uribe, *Legendrian distributions with applications to relative Poincaré series,* Invent. Math. 122 (1995), no. 2, 359–402.
- <span id="page-42-0"></span>[9] L. Boutet de Monvel and V. Guillemin, *The spectral theory of Toeplitz operators,* Ann. of Math. Stud., 99, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1981.
- [10] L. Boutet de Monvel and J. Sjöstrand, *Sur la singularité des noyaux de Bergman et de Szegö,* Astérisque 34–35 (1976), 123–164.
- [11] L. Charles, *Berezin–Toeplitz operators, a semi-classical approach,* Comm. Math. Phys. 239 (2003), no. 1–2, 1–28.
- [12] X. Dai, K. Liu, and X. Ma, *On the asymptotic expansion of Bergman kernel,* J. Differential Geom. 72 (2006), no. 1, 1–41.
- [13] M. Debernardi and R. Paoletti, *Equivariant asymptotics for Bohr–Sommerfeld Lagrangian submanifolds,* Comm. Math. Phys. 267 (2006), no. 1, 227–263.
- [14] T. Foth, *Bohr–Sommerfeld tori and relative Poincaré series on a complex hyperbolic space,* Comm. Anal. Geom. 10 (2002), no. 1, 151–175.
- [15] D. S. Freed, *Classical Chern–Simons theory. I,* Adv. Math. 113 (1995), no. 2, 237– 303.
- [16] E. Freitag, *Hilbert modular forms,* Springer, Berlin, 1990.
- [17] A. L. Gorodentsev and A. N. Tyurin, *Abelian Lagrangian algebraic geometry,* Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat. 65 (2001), no. 3, 15–50.
- [18] V. Guillemin and A. Uribe, *The Laplace operator on the nth tensor power of a line bundle: eigenvalues which are uniformly bounded in n,* Asymptot. Anal. 1 (1988), no. 2, 105–113.
- [19] L. Ioos, W. Lu, X. Ma, and G. Marinescu, *Berezin–Toeplitz quantization for eigenstates of the Bochner–Laplacian on symplectic manifolds,* J. Geom. Anal. (2017).
- [20] L. C. Jeffrey and J. Weitsman, *Bohr–Sommerfeld orbits in the moduli space of flat connections and the Verlinde dimension formula,* Comm. Math. Phys. 150 (1992), no. 3, 593–630.
- [21] S. Katok, *Closed geodesics, periods and arithmetic of modular forms,* Invent. Math. 80 (1985), no. 3, 469–480.
- [22] W. Lu, X. Ma, and G. Marinescu, *Donaldson's Q-operators for symplectic manifolds,* Sci. China Math. 60 (2017), no. 6, 1047–1056.
- [23] X. Ma, *Orbifolds and analytic torsions,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 357 (2005), no. 6, 2205–2233.
- [24] X. Ma and G. Marinescu, *The* Spin*<sup>c</sup> Dirac operator on high tensor powers of a line bundle,* Math. Z. 240 (2002), no. 3, 651–664.
- [25] , *Holomorphic Morse inequalities and Bergman kernels,* Progr. Math., 254, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2007.
- [26] , *Generalized Bergman kernels on symplectic manifolds,* Adv. Math. 217 (2008), no. 4, 1756–1815.
- [27] , *Toeplitz operators on symplectic manifolds,* J. Geom. Anal. 18 (2008), no. 2, 565–611.
- [28] X. Ma and W. Zhang, *Bergman kernels and symplectic reduction,* Astérisque (2008), no. 318, viii+154.
- [29] M. Schlichenmaier, *Deformation quantization of compact Kähler manifolds by Berezin–Toeplitz quantization,* Conférence Moshé Flato 1999, Vol. II (Dijon), Math. Phys. Stud., 22, pp. 289–306, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 2000.
- [30] J. Śniatycki, Wave functions relative to a real polarization, Internat. J. Theoret. Phys. 14 (1975), no. 4, 277–288.
- [31] G. M. Tuynman, *The metaplectic correction in geometric quantization,* J. Geom. Phys. 106 (2016), 401–426.

- <span id="page-43-0"></span>[32] A. N. Tyurin, *On the Bohr–Sommerfeld bases,* Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat. 64 (2000), no. 5, 163–196.
- [33] E. Witten, *Quantum field theory and the Jones polynomial,* Comm. Math. Phys. 121 (1989), no. 3, 351–399.
- [34] N. M. J. Woodhouse, *Geometric quantization,* second edition, Oxford Math. Monogr., The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1992, Oxford Science Publications.

School of Mathematical Sciences Tel Aviv University Ramat Aviv, Tel Aviv 69978 Israël

[louisioos@mail.tau.ac.il](mailto:louisioos@mail.tau.ac.il)